

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11322/2026
CNR: RJHC020614902026 | URN: CW / 25100U / 2026

Rajnish Kumar Ojha S/o Shri Subhas Chandra Ojha, R/o Quarter No. 5, 1st Floor, Block-II, Type-III, Central University Of Rajasthan, Nh-08, Bandarsindri, Kishangarh, Ajmer.

----Petitioner

Versus

Central University Of Rajasthan, Through Its Registrar, Bandarsindri, Kishangarh, District Ajmer, Rajasthan.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Madhav Dadhich
For Respondent(s)	:	

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA BORANA

Order

30/07/2026

1. Because of an urgent mentioning made on behalf of Counsel for the petitioner, the matter has been permitted to be listed on the same day.
2. An application (I.A. No.01/2026) has been filed on behalf of the petitioner with the prayer to restrain the respondent University from proceeding against the petitioner in contravention to the directions as issued by this Court vide order dated 06.07.2026.
3. It has been submitted that interim order was passed on 06.07.2026 and on the same date, a questionnaire was e-mailed to the petitioner with a direction to respond on or before 14.07.2026.
4. Vide e-mail dated 23.07.2026, it was communicated to the petitioner that the Committee has been reconstituted in

compliance of order dated 06.07.2026 and further, he was directed to file his response by 24.07.2026 i.e. the very next day, to the questionnaire as already forwarded to him vide e-mail dated 06.07.2026.

5. Counsel for the petitioner submits that calling upon the petitioner to file reply in a day's time is totally arbitrary. Further, the earlier questionnaire was prepared by the same Committee which comprised of Prof. Vipin Kumar too and hence, it be directed that the petitioner shall not be required to reply to the said questionnaire.

6. Counsel further submits that the petitioner is ready to reply to a new questionnaire if issued by the re-constituted Committee and even ready to appear before the Committee as and when called for.

7. Heard the Counsel. Perused e-mails dated 06.07.2026 and 23.07.2026.

8. This Court is of the clear opinion that except the fact that the petitioner has been called upon to reply in a single day, nothing else requires interference by this Court at this stage. Interim order dated 06.07.2026 was granted on the ground of one of the members being biased against the petitioner having been raised. Vide the said order, the Court specifically observed that if a new Committee is constituted after excluding Prof. Vipin Kumar, the said Committee shall be at liberty to proceed further with the inquiry proceedings.

9. In view of the above liberty, a new Committee having been constituted, petitioner definitely is under an obligation to reply to the questionnaire as e-mailed on 06.07.2026.

10. The application is hence, **disposed of** with an observation that the petitioner shall be at liberty to file response to questionnaire dated 06.07.2026, within a period of 10 days from now.

11. On the said reply being submitted, the Committee shall be under an obligation to intimate the date for personal hearing to the petitioner and to conduct/conclude the inquiry in accordance with law.

(REKHA BORANA),J

cuppl.2/ARVIND