

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 10007/2026
URN: CRLMB / 18576U / 2026

Rinku S/o Gajraj, Age About 28 Years, R/o Devlen, Police Thaana
Nayi Mandi, Hindaun, Dist. Karauli (Raj.) (At Present Confined In
District Jail Dausa).

-----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through P.P.

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 10006/2026
URN: CRLMB / 18575U / 2026

Phool Singh S/o Nathi Singh, Age About 20 Years, R/o Divli,
Police Thaana Bhusawar, Dist. Bharatpur (Raj.) (At Present
Confined In District Jail Dausa).

-----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through P.P.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ravi Kumar Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Amit Kumar Gupta, PP

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANGEETA SHARMA

Order

15/07/2026

1. This instant bail application has been filed on behalf of the
accused-petitioners against the orders dated 13.06.2026 passed
by learned trial Court under Section 483 of BNSS in connection
with FIR No. 46/2025 registered at Police Station Salempur,
District Dausa for the offence(s) punishable under Sections
189(2), 109(1), 140(3), 111(2)(b) & 308(2) of BNS, in which

charge-sheet has been submitted under Sections 189(2), 115(2), 126(2), 140(3), 110, 111(4) & 308(2) of BNS.

2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioners submits that the accused-petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that the accused-petitioners are in custody since long; that the charge-sheet has already been filed. He further submits that there are no criminal antecedents against the accused-petitioner Rinku. He further submits that injuries sustained by the injured are neither fatal nor dangerous to life; conclusion of trial will take its own time, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the accused-petitioners behind the bars and, therefore, prays that the accused-petitioners may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the contentions put forth by the learned counsel for the accused-petitioners and submits that there are four criminal antecedents against the accused-petitioner Phool Singh under Sections 379, 279 of IPC.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and rival contentions advanced by the learned counsel for both the parties and the material available on record in the form of charge-sheet; particularly keeping in view the fact that accused-petitioners are behind the bars since 02.05.2025; that there are no criminal antecedents against the accused-petitioner Rinku; that injuries sustained by the injured are neither fatal nor dangerous to life; that trial will take its own time. Hence, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case, deems it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioners on bail.

6. Therefore, this instant bail application under Section 483 BNSS is accordingly, **allowed** and the accused-petitioners **Rinku S/o Gajraj and Phool Singh S/o Nathi Singh** are ordered to be released on bail, provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, with the stipulation that they shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

7. It is made clear that the accused-petitioners shall not involve themselves in any offence(s) during currency of the bail and he shall mark his presence on 15th day of every month in the concerned police station till completion of trial of this case.

8. In case of any breach of the aforementioned condition, the learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to move the application against the accused-petitioners for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

9. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail applications and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

10. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned trial Court and Jail authorities through e-mail/fax, for necessary compliance.

(SANGEETA SHARMA),J