




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 9531/2026
URN: CRLMB / 17696U / 2026

Vinod Bai W/o Chauthmal, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Maliyon Ke Mandir Ke Paas, Bohat, Ps Mangrol, District Baran Presently Bambuliya Khurd, Ps Itawa, District Kota. (Petitioner Is In Judicial Custody At Central Jail, Kota)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 10064/2026
URN: CRLMB / 18697U / 2026

1. Ramcharan S/o Nathulal, Aged About 64 Years, R/o Bubaliya Khurd, P.s. Itawa, District Kota (Raj.) (Petitioner Is In Judicial Custody At Central Jail, Kota).
2. Mohanlal S/o Nathulal, Aged About 72 Years, R/o Bubaliya Khurd, P.s. Itawa, District Kota (Raj.) (Petitioner Is In Judicial Custody At Central Jail, Kota).
3. Badrilal S/o Nathulal, Aged About 62 Years, R/o Bubaliya Khurd, P.s. Itawa, District Kota (Raj.) (Petitioner Is In Judicial Custody At Central Jail, Kota).
4. Radheyshyam S/o Mohanlal, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Bubaliya Khurd, P.s. Itawa, District Kota (Raj.) (Petitioner Is In Judicial Custody At Central Jail, Kota).
5. Ramhet S/o Ramcharan, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Bubaliya Khurd, P.s. Itawa, District Kota



(Raj.) (Petitioner Is In Judicial
Custody At Central Jail, Kota).

-----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Dhanna Ram Mr. Vivek Agarwal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vijay Singh Yadav, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

06/07/2026

1. The petitioners have filed these bail applications under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.137/2026 registered at Police Station Itawa, District Kota Rural for offences under Sections 115(2), 126(2) and 189(2) of BNS, 2023.
2. Since all these bail applications arise out of common FIR, hence, they are being decided by this common order.
3. Heard learned counsels for the petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.
4. Learned counsels for the petitioners contend that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in these cases. He submits that no specific allegations have been levelled against the present petitioners. He further contends that there is no medical report on record from which it can be inferred that the injuries sustained by the victim are life-threatening in nature. He again submits that petitioner- Vinod Bai is a lady. The petitioners are behind the bars since 26.05.2026 though two cases have been reported against



Mohanlal, one case against Ramcharan and one case against Badrilal respectively however, in all cases they are on bail. The trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners in further custody, consequently, the bail applications deserves to be allowed.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the bail applications of the petitioners.

6. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and in the light of submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioners.

7. Consequently, the bail applications under Section 483 B.N.S.S. are allowed and it is directed that the petitioners Vinod Bai W/o Chauthmal, Ramcharan S/o Nathulal, Mohanlal S/o Nathulal, Badrilal S/o Nathulal, Radheyshyam S/o Mohanlal & Ramhet S/o Ramcharan be released on bail provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that each of them shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J