

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11190/2026

URN: CW / 24838U / 2026

Susseram Dhakad S/o Shri Madho Lal, Aged About 56 Years, R/o
Gram Bada Nagala Itamada Post Chetoli Via Chokarwara Tehsil
Bhusawar District Bharatpur (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary,
Medical And Health Service, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Govt
Secretariate, Jaipur
2. The Director, Medical And Health Service, Govt. Of
Rajasthan Directorate, C- Scheme, Jaipur
3. The Chief Medical And Health, Office Bharatpur District
Bharatpur Rajasthan
4. The Medical Officer (Inchage), Community Health Centre,
Bhusawar District Bharatpur Rajasthan

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Vinod Kumar Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Archit Bohra, AGC Mr. Yashwant Singh

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA BORANA

Order

14/07/2026

1. The present writ petition has been filed aggrieved of order dated 11.06.2025 (Annexure-8) whereby the services of the petitioner who had been working as a 'Lab Attendant' on contractual basis have been terminated on the pretext that no financial sanction had been received qua the said post for financial year 2026-2027 and further on the ground that 'Hub and Spoke' Scheme had been implemented.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that earlier too when the services of the petitioner were sought to be terminated on the ground of financial sanction having not been granted, interim orders were passed in his favour, and by virtue of the same, he is continuing till date.

3. Counsel for the respondents however submits that as the financial sanction has not been granted, it would amount to the abolition of the post itself. He further submits that some of the earlier posts have been protected under the 'Hub and Spoke' Scheme but the post of 'Lab Attendant' has not been protected under in the said Scheme which would also mean that the said posts stands abolished.

4. This Court is of the clear opinion that if any post is sought to be abolished, there definitely has to be a policy decision of the competent authority to that effect. Further, there has to be a specific order specifying so.

5. Let the matter be listed on 21.07.2026.

6. By that date, Counsel for the respondents shall be under an obligation to place on record the document, if any, to reflect that post of 'Lab Attendant' has been abolished by the State Authority.

7. Meanwhile, the petitioner shall be permitted to continue in service.

(REKHA BORANA),J