

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 9216/2026

URN: CRLMB / 16885U / 2026

Aryan @ Golu S/o Mastram, Age 21 Years, Resident Of Gambhira, Police Station Malarna Dungar, District Sawai Madhopur Raj. (At Present Confined In District Jail Sawai Madhopur)

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through P.P.

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 9269/2026

URN: CRLMB / 17002U / 2026

Omprakash S/o Hakim Singh, Aged 27 Years, R/o Sitod, Police Station Bamanwas District Sawai Madhopur, Raj. (At Present Confined In Sub Jail Gangapurcity)

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through P.P.

----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 9743/2026

URN: CRLMB / 18036U / 2026

Mohammad Nosad S/o Mohammad Anwar, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Agrasen Colony, Bandariya Ke Balaji Ke Piche, Gangapur City, Police Station Udei Mod, District Sawai Madhopur, Rajasthan. (At Present Confined In District Jail Gangapur City).

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through P.P.

----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 10022/2026

URN: CRLMB / 18608U / 2026

Irfan S/o Abdul Rajak, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Chuli Ki Bagichi, Gangapurcity, District Sawai Madhopur. (At Present Confined In District Jail Gangapur City).

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through P.P.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Tarun Jain Mr. Asgar Khan Mr. Ravindra Kumar Paliwal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manvendra Singh Choudhary, PP

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANGEETA SHARMA**Order****10/07/2026**

1. These instant bail applications have been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioners against the orders of learned trial Court dated 04.06.2026, 06.06.2026, 10.06.2026 and 30.05.2026 under Section 483 of BNSS in connection with FIR No.109/2026 registered at Police Station Udai Mod, District Sawai Madhopur for the offence(s) punishable under Sections 319(2), 318(4) & 61(2) (a) of BNS and under Section 66D of IT Act, in which charge-sheet has been submitted under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 112(2) & 61(2)(a) of BNS and under Section 66D of IT Act

2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioners submits that the accused-petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that the accused-petitioners are in custody since long; that the charge-sheet has already been filed. He further submits that that the alleged offences are triable by Magistrate; conclusion of trial will take its own time, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the accused-petitioners behind the bars and, therefore, prays that the accused-petitioners may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the contentions put forth by the learned counsel for the accused-petitioners but did not dispute with the fact that there are criminal antecedents against the accused-petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and rival contentions advanced by the learned counsel for both the parties and the material available on record in the form of charge-sheet; particularly keeping in view the fact that accused-petitioners are behind the bars since long; that there are no criminal antecedents against the accused-petitioners; that trial will take its own time. Hence, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case, deems it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioners on bail.

6. Therefore, these instant bail applications under Section 483 BNSS are accordingly, **allowed** and the accused-petitioners **Aryan @ Golu S/o Mastram, Omprakash S/o Hakim Singh, Mohammad Nosad S/o Mohammad Anwar and Irfan S/o Abdul Rajak** are ordered to be released on bail, provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, with the stipulation that they shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

7. It is made clear that the accused-petitioners shall not involve themselves in any offence(s) during currency of the bail.

8. In case of any breach of the aforementioned condition, the learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to move the application

against the accused-petitioners for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

9. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail applications and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

10. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned trial Court through e-mail/fax, for necessary compliance.

(SANGEETA SHARMA),J