

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 700/2025
CNR: RJHC020578782025 | URN: CCP / 747U / 2025

Liladhar Saini S/o Shri Raghuveer Prasad Saini,
-----Petitioner
Versus
Shri Mahendra Soni, & Ors.
-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Abhishek Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vishal Kumar with Mr. Divyansh Mathur for Mr. Manoj Sharma, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI

Order

10/09/2026

- 1.** Mr. Abhishek Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide order dated 06.09.2024 passed in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.13937/2018, specific directions were issued to respondents to consider the case of the petitioner in terms of the notification dated 27.02.2009, and if found entitled, he be regularised in service and all the consequential benefits may be granted to him.
- 2.** Learned counsel submits that the petitioner was appointed on the post of 'Chokidar', in the month of September 1991, however, his services were terminated by the respondents on 29.09.1994. The said termination was challenged by the petitioner before the learned Labour Court, which allowed the claim of the petitioner vide order dated 20.11.1999 with the directions to the respondents to reinstate the petitioner with continuity in service and payment of half wages.

3. Learned counsel submits that the award dated 20.11.1999 was challenged by the respondents by filing ***S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.3339/2002*** titled as ***Director, Women and Child Development Department & Ors. Versus Sh. Leeladhar & Ors.,*** which came to be dismissed vide order dated 12.02.2025 by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court and no interference was made in the findings recorded by the learned Labour Court.

4. Learned counsel further submits that once the award dated 20.11.1999 had directed continuity of services of the petitioner, there was no occasion for the respondents to pass the order dated 18.10.2024 observing that the petitioner was not in service from 29.09.1994 to 31.03.2002. Further, subsequently, the challenge made by the respondents to the award dated 20.11.1999 having failed, the stand of the respondents stands further falsified and is even factually incorrect.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted ten days' time to complete his instructions and file compliance report/reply.

6. Accordingly, list the matter on 24.09.2026.

7. However, it is clarified that in case the compliance of the order dated 06.09.2024 is not made in letter and spirit, respondent No.2 – Sh. Vasudev Malawat, Director, Integrated Child Development Services, Janpath, Gandhi Nagar, Jaipur, shall remain personally present before this Court on the next date.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J