

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11106/2024

M/s Satnam Motocorp Pvt. Ltd.

-----Petitioner

Versus

Shri Kinshuk Sharma S/o Shri Shiv Sharan Sharma

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 16986/2024

M/s. Satnam Motocorp Pvt. Ltd.

-----Petitioner

Versus

Shri Kinshuk Sharma S/o Shri Shiv Sharan Sharma

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Suresh Kumar Sahni
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Kinshuk Sharma (present in person)

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANEESH SHARMA
Order

24/02/2026

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11106/2024:

1. Matter comes up on an application (IA No.6/2025) filed by the applicant/respondent, seeking review of the order dated 16.10.2025 under Section 114 and Order 47 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (CPC).
2. The review applicant-Kinshuk Sharma submits that there is a contradiction in the order dated 16.10.2025 and the earlier order dated 11.09.2025, he further submits that life of the interim order dated 26.09.2024 was only up till 21.10.2024; the application (IA No. 1/2024) resultantly became infructuous, therefore the same ought not to have been adjudicated vide order dated 16.10.2025; therefore prays that the present partial review application may kindly be allowed.

3. *Per contra*, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the order dated 16.10.2025 does not suffer from any contradiction, ambiguity or error apparent on fact of the record, so as to warrant any interference by this Court under Section 114/Order 47 of CPC, and prayed for the dismissal of the same.

4. Heard and considered the submissions made by the applicant-landlord and learned counsel for the petitioner-tenant and perused the averments made in the application.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, since on 16.10.2024 the matter was listed on two applications i.e. IA No. 1/2024 and IA No. 4/2025, and on that date, the applicant did not point out the same. Even otherwise, there is no order where it is recorded that IA No. 1/2024 has become infructuous or decided, therefore, it cannot be said that there is any contradiction in the order dated 16.10.2025, thus, I find no good ground to review the order dated 16.10.2025, as the order sought to be reviewed is explicitly clear, passed in the presence of the parties, and does not suffer from any contradiction, ambiguity or error apparent on face of the record so as to warrant any interference by this Court under Section 114/Order 47 Rule 1 of CPC.

6. The application (IA No.6/2025) stands dismissed.

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 16986/2024:

List the matter along with S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11106/2024.

(MANEESH SHARMA),J