

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11106/2024

M/s Satnam Motocorp Pvt. Ltd. & Anr.

-----Petitioners

Versus

Shri Kinshuk Sharma S/o Shri Shiv Sharan Sharma

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 16986/2024

M/s. Satnam Motocorp Pvt. Ltd. & Anr.

-----Petitioners

Versus

Shri Kinshuk Sharma S/o Shri Shiv Sharan Sharma

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Suresh Kumar Sahni with Mr. Mahendra Singh Solanki
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Kinshuk Sharma, respondent present in person

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHENDAR KUMAR GOYAL
Order

11/09/2025

The matter is listed before this Court on the application (2/2025 in SB CWP No.11106/2024) filed by the petitioners/applicants (for brevity "the applicants") seeking clarification in the interim order dated 26.09.2024.

Reiterating the averments made in the application, learned counsel for the applicants submits that interim order passed by this Court on 26.09.2024 was extended till 09.01.2025 and thereafter, the matter was listed on various dates but, either it did not reach or was adjourned barring 23.01.2025 when the matter mentioned by the respondent in person without notice to them. He submits that on 07.05.2025, the respondent filed the reply to the vacation of the stay application demonstrating that the stay was in

operation. He further submits that the matter was listed on 01.07.2025 and 14.07.2025 but, did not reach hearing resulting into adjournment. Learned counsel submits that the respondent has filed an application on 01.07.2025 mischievously. He, therefore, prays that the interim order dated 26.09.2024 be clarified in terms prayed for.

Heard. Considered.

On 26.09.2024, this Court passed the following interim order:-

“List the matter on 21.10.2024 for final disposal at this stage as requested by learned counsels for the respective parties.

Learned counsel for the respondent undertakes not to proceed with the execution of the order dated 31.07.2019 till then.”

A perusal of the aforesaid interim order reflects that it is very clear and self explanatory warranting no such clarification that the stay emanating from it is still in force and shall remain in force till the decision of the writ petition, as prayed for in the instant application by the applicants. Life of the interim order, based on undertaking of the respondent, was till the next date fixed therein. Even otherwise, it is case of the applicants themselves that the interim order dated 26.09.2024 was extended from time to time. At this stage, learned counsel for the applicants prayed that the matter may be listed before another Bench or on his oral request, he may be permitted to amend the application.

None of the prayers can be accepted. Since, the application has been filed seeking clarification in the interim order dated 26.09.2024 passed by this Court, it has been listed before this Court by the Office as per the provisions contained under the

Rules of the High Court of Rajasthan, 1952. Even otherwise, this Court has no jurisdiction to direct the matter to be listed before another Bench. Similarly, at this stage, no oral prayer of the learned counsel for the applicants to amend the application can be entertained.

In view of the aforesaid, the application is dismissed.

(MAHENDAR KUMAR GOYAL),J