

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

D.B. Habeas Corpus Petition No. 212/2026

URN: HC / 574U / 2026

Manraj Gurjar S/o Ramkhiladi Gurjar, Aged About 27 Years, R/o
Kaliwala Gurjar Dhani, Indawa, Lalsot, Dist. Dausa,(Rajasthan)
-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary,
Department Of Home, Government Secretariat, Jaipur
(Raj.).
2. The Director General Of Police, Rajasthan, Jaipur (Raj.).
3. The Additional Director General Of Police, Anti Human
Trafficking Unit, Jaipur (Raj.).
4. The Superintendent Of Police, District Dausa (Raj.).
5. The Station House Officer, Police Station Lalsot, District
Dausa (Raj.).
6. Kamlesh Kumar Sharma S/o Nandkishore Sharma, R/o
Village Indawa, P.s. Lalsot, Dist. Dausa (Raj.).
7. Mamta Devi W/o Kamlesh Kumar Sharma, R/o Village
Indawa, P.s. Lalsot, Dist. Dausa (Raj.).

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	: Mr. Sunil Sharma. Mr. Manoj Kumar Prajapat.
For Respondent(s)	: Mr. Rajesh Choudhary, GA-cum-AAG assisted by Mr. Aman Kumar. & Ms. Neha Goyal. Mr. Vikram Singh Chauhan. Mr. Ramesh Chand, ASI & Ms. Mansa, Police Constable, Police Station – Lalsot District Dausa.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJEET SINGH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BHUWAN GOYAL

Order

08/07/2026

1. While issuing notice to the respondents on 16.06.2026, this court passed the following order:-

- "1.Heard.
- 2.Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to serve copy of this petition in the office of learned Government Advocate cum AAG, during course of the day. He shall also submit a Demand Draft of a sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- in the name of detinue, before the Registrar (Judicial), Rajasthan High Court, Jaipur Bench, Jaipur within one week.
- 3.On depositing the amount as ordered above, let notice be issued to respondent No. 6.
- 4.List this matter on 03.07.2026."

2. The corpus, on having been recovered, has been produced today before this Court by Mr. Ramesh Chand, ASI, Police Station Lalsot District Dausa. The date of birth of the corpus as reflected from the record is 20.07.2005, meaning thereby the corpus is major, above 18 years of age, which is affirmed by counsel for the petitioner as well as learned GA-cum-AAG.

3. We have interacted with the corpus and she has stated before this Court that she is not in any illegal detention and she does not want to go and live with the petitioner and she wants to go and live with their parents i.e. respondents No.6 & 7.

4. The corpus is permitted to go with the parents i.e. respondents No.6 & 7. The concerned Police Officer is directed that no harm should be caused by any person to the corpus, while going with her parents i.e. respondents No.6 & 7.

5. Now, as per the statement of the corpus, we are of the considered view that the petitioner has made wrong statement in the present habeas corpus petition with regard to illegal detention of the corpus by the respondents No.6 & 7, therefore, we direct the Registrar (Judicial) to hand over the original demand draft of Rs.1,00,000/- deposited by the petitioner in compliance of the order of this Court dated 16.06.2026 to the corpus today itself.

6. In that view of the matter, the present habeas corpus petition stands disposed of.

(BHUWAN GOYAL),J

(INDERJEET SINGH),J