

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN**  
**BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12237/2023

Hari Narayan Son Of Late Shri Ram Sahay

-----Petitioner

Versus

Saroj Devi Wife Of Shri Chetan Kumar

-----Respondent

---

For Petitioner(s) : Mr.Rahul Tiwari

For Respondent(s) :

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDESH BANSAL**

**Order**

**12/08/2024**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that on the application filed by the respondents under Section 111/128 of the Rajasthan Land Revenue Act, 1956 (for short "the Act of 1956"), the Sub-divisional Officer, Jaipur – II (Sanganer), Jaipur, vide order dated 16<sup>th</sup> February, 2018, issued directions to make 'Pathhargadhi', whereas the petitioners were not made party in the present application.

2. Learned submits that the petitioners have filed a revenue suit against the respondent No.1 in 2018, wherein dispute of demarcation of boundaries is pending, however, pursuant to the order dated 16<sup>th</sup> February, 2018, the respondent No.1 is inclined to get "Pathargadhi".

3. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners preferred appeal and second appeal, which have been dismissed on technical ground.

4. Heard.

5. On request of learned counsel for the petitioners, service of notice upon the respondent Nos.3 to 15 is dispensed with being proforma respondents.
6. On behalf of respondent No.1, no one has put in appearance despite service of notice.
7. For respondent No.2, learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to serve a copy of the petition in the office of Mr.Neeraj Batra, Government Counsel, within a period of two weeks.
8. Office is directed to show name of Mr.Neeraj Batra, GC in the cause-list as counsel for the respondent(s).
9. In the meanwhile, implementation of the judgment dated 16<sup>th</sup> February, 2018 shall remain stayed, if has not been implemented.

(SUDESH BANSAL),J