

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 10193/2025

1. Sedhram S/o Shri Moolchand, Aged About 54 Years, R/o Badabad (Digo), Tehsil Lalsot, District Dausa, (Rajasthan)
2. Pratap Gurjar S/o Shri Moolchand Gurjar, Aged About 65 Years, R/o Badabad (Digo), Tehsil Lalsot, District Dausa, (Rajasthan)

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Chief Conservator Of Forests (Pccf) Aranya Bhawan, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Jhalana Doongri, Jaipur -302004, (Rajasthan)
2. Vanpal, Naka Binori, Tehsil Lalsot, District Dausa, Rajasthan
3. Sub- Divisional Magistrate, Lalsot, District Dausa

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. K.N. Sharma

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

14/07/2025

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that notice under Section 72 of the Rajasthan Forest Act, 1953 has been issued to the petitioners despite the fact that land in question is recorded in the name of petitioners in the revenue record. Counsel submits that bare perusal of the notice would reveal that vague notice has been issued to the petitioners as notice does not contain description of the land.
2. In view of above submissions, issue notice to respondent Nos.1 to 3, through both the process, returnable on next date of hearing. Requisites be filed within a period of two weeks, failing

which, this petition shall stand dismissed without any further reference to the bench.

3. If the requisites are filed within given time, list this matter after ten weeks.

4. Till next date of hearing, respondents are directed not to dispossess the petitioners from the land which is recorded in their name in the revenue record.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

LALIT MOHAN /39