

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15870/2025

Romi Samriya, W/o. Praveen Kumar Samriya, Aged About 36 Years, R/o. E-101, Sidharth Nagar, Near Amit Bhardwaj Petrol Pump, Jaipur, Rajasthan

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Chief Secretary, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur
2. The Excise Commissioner, Government Of Rajasthan, Udaipur
3. District Excise Officer, Excise Department, Jaipur City
4. Excise Inspector, Excise Department, circle-South East, Jaipur City

-----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9829/2025

Dinesh Sharma Son Of Shri Moolchand Sharma, Aged About 43 Years, Resident Of E-25-B, Govindpuri, Ramnagar, Sodala, Jaipur Licensee Of Liquor Shop Situated At Shop No-27, Ward No-126 And 127, Greater, Sector-6, Malviya Nagar, Jaipur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Finance (Revenue) Department, Secretariat, Jaipur
2. The Excise Commissioner, Excise Department, Government Of Rajasthan, 2, Gumaniyawala, Udaipur.
3. District Excise Officer, Jaipur City, Jaipur.
4. Mr Romi Samriya S/o Unknown, Shop No-26, Sector No-6, Maliviya Nagar, Jaipur-302017.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3795/2026

Manoj Kumar Jaiswal S/o Om Prakash Jaiswal, Aged About 51 Years, Resident Of Green Park Colony, Bisalpur Road, Bareilly (Up), Operating Composite Liquor Shop At Shop No.4, Cluster No. 131, Ajmer Nagar Nigam Ward No. 32-33-34-35.



----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Finance Secretary, (Revenue), Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Excise Commissioner, Government Of Rajasthan, Udaipur.
3. District Excise Officer, Excise Department, Ajmer.
4. Excise Inspector, Circle- Ajmer City South, Ajmer.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6714/2026

Manohar Singh S/o Shri Jaman Singh, Aged About 53 Years, R/o 191, Sanga Farm, Officers Enclave, Kalwar Road, Jhotwara, Jaipur - 302012.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Principal Secretary, Finance (Excise) Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Excise Commissioner, Rajasthan, Aabkari Bhawan, 2-Gumaniyawala, Panchvati, Udaipur - 313001.
3. The District Excise Officer, Jaipur City, Office Jila Aabkari Adhikari, Jaipur City, Jaipur.
4. M/s Mk Traders Through Its Partner Abid Ali Nagra S/o Yaqub Ali Nagra, Licensee Of Shop No. 3, Ward No. 146 (G), 147 (G), Jaipur South, C-131, Lalkothi Scheme, Sahkar Marg, Jaipur.

----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6264/2026

Mustak Ali Kalal, S/o. Rehmat Din, Aged About 43 Years, R/o. Plot No. E-47, Murlipura, Jaipur, Rajasthan

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Joint Secretary, Department Of Finance (Excise), Government Of

Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Excise Commissioner, Government Of Rajasthan, Udaipur (Raj.).
3. District Excise Officer, Excise Department, Jaipur City (Raj.).

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6787/2026

Sunil Kumar, S/o. Hari Ram Khareeta, Aged About 35 Years,
R/o. Ward No. 02, Jakhar Colony, Godawas, Neem Ka Thana,
District Sikar, Rajasthan

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Joint Secretary, Department Of Finance (Excise), Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Excise Commissioner, Government Of Rajasthan, Udaipur (Raj.).
3. District Excise Officer, Excise Department, Jaipur City (Raj.).

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Amit Milani Mr. Dinesh Bishnoi Mr. Sanjay Khedar
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Kapil Prakash Mathur, AAG with Mr. Saurabh Sharma Mr. R.B. Mathur, Sr. Advocate assisted by Mr. Falak Mathur, Mr. Yug Singh Mr. Namit Mehta, Excise Commissioner via VC Ms. Depti Mishra, DEO Mr. Mahipal Singh, DEO

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAMEER JAIN
Order

27/04/2026

These writ petitions are filed assailing the action of State qua the Excise Policy, whereby the shops which were allotted to the

petitioner(s), were directed to be relocated sans granting any opportunity of hearing to the petitioner(s).

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Mr. Namit Mehta, Excise Commissioner has marked his presence via V.C. and has furnished statistics pertaining to State of Rajasthan qua the issue at hand; upon doing the same, it is submitted that approximately Seven Thousand Six Hundred and Sixty-five shops, under the impugned policy, situated throughout the districts in the State of Rajasthan, were auctioned under clusters. Out of the same, approximately in 35 districts, there was change in location due to reasons, *inter alia*, public unrest, landlord-tenant relation complications, construction of bridges or roads, or other miscellaneous reasons beyond control of the respondents. Resultant to which, it can be noted that within a parameter of hundred meters, approximately 105 shops were relocated in all the districts; and approximately 92 shops were directed to be relocated at a distance of more than hundred meters. It is submitted the petitioner(s) are a handful of persons/shop owners, and that the said decision was taken after considering material multiple factors.

However, it is not disputed that certain arbitrariness might occur, due to which *prima facie* the competitors are being affected, and the said scenario can be analyzed.

Considering the foregoing submissions and circumstances, and the fact that licenses are issued in a time bound manner and on daily basis, petitioners/ respondents are being affected, this Court directs Commissioner of State Excise to consider the plea of

the petitioner(s), stakeholders in the instant matter and pass appropriate orders, thereto.

The said order in each case may be placed before this Court on the next date/prior to the next date of hearing.

Matters be listed before this Court on 15.05.2026.

(SAMEER JAIN),J