

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 579/2024

Dheeraj Kumar Dhakad S/o Ratan Singh Dhakad, R/o Plot No. 21, Shankar Colony, Near Getor School, Jagatpura, Jaipur (Rajasthan)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Mr. Subodh Agarwal, Additional Chief Secretary, Public Health Engineering Department, Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj.)
2. Mr. Rakesh Luhadia, Chief Engineer (Administration) Public Health Engineering Department, Jal Bhawan, Civil Lines, Jaipur
3. Mr. Mukesh Bansal, Superintendent Engineer (Installation), Public Health Engineering Department, Jaipur, Rajasthan

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Nitin Sinsinwar
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Kuldeep Singh Rathore & Ms. Chelsi Agarwal for Ms. Mahi Yadav, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA

Order

04/05/2026

1. Learned counsel for the respondents, at the outset submitted that the respondents have issued order dated 11.04.2026 whereby, compliance of order dated 05.12.2022 passed by Rajasthan Civil Services Appellate Tribunal in Appeal No. 388/2020 has been made and name of the petitioner has been migrated from the list of Junior Engineer (diploma holders) to the seniority list of Junior Engineer (degree holders).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, although admitted that order dated 11.04.2026 has been passed for deleting the name of the petitioner from the list of diploma holders and his name has been entered in the list of degree holders, yet he submitted that claim of the petitioner is to get benefits from the year 2015 however, the respondents have granted such benefits from the year 2019.

3. This Court finds that there was no specific directions for granting benefit of the petitioner from the year 2015 and it can be observed that by way of issuing order dated 11.04.2026, substantial compliance has already been made by the respondents hence, it is not a case of deliberate and willful disobedience.

4. In view of above, contempt petition filed by the petitioner is hereby dismissed.

5. Notices stand discharged.

6. It is however made clear that mere dismissal of the contempt petition would not curtail rights of the petitioner to approach the appropriate forum of law in order to get better benefits than granted in order dated 11.04.2026.

(ANAND SHARMA),J