

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3793/2010

Charan Singh S/o Shri Suraj Karan Latala, aged about 45 years,
R/o 7, Joshi Colony, Barkat Nagar, Tonk Phatak, Jaipur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan through Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Commissioner, Employment Guarantee Scheme, Rural Development & Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. The District Collector and District Programme Coordinator, National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (NREGA), Jaipur.
4. The Additional District Programme Coordinator and Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Jaipur.
5. The Programme Officer, Panchayat Samiti Sambhar Lake, District Jaipur.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Mahendra Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Aditya Sharma for Mr. Kesar Singh Shekhawat, AGC

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN

Order

23/03/2026

1. Instant writ petition is preferred with following prayer:

"(i) By way of issue of appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, the circular dated 18.09.2009 issued by the Director, Employment Guarantee Scheme may kindly be held arbitrary and illegal and may kindly be quashed and set aside.

(ii) By way of issue of appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, the petitioner may be held eligible

to hold the post of Junior Technical Assistant and the respondents may kindly be directed not to terminate his services w.e.f. 31.03.2010 and in case, during the pendency of instant writ petition services of the petitioner are discontinued /terminated, then the respondents may kindly be directed to reinstate the petitioner by maintaining continuity in service and with all consequential benefits.

(iii) By way of issue of appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, the respondents may kindly be directed to extend term of contract of appointment executed between the petitioner and the respondents in the similar manner as it has been done by them in the case of similarly situated persons."

2. During course of argument, learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner is continuing in service under the interim order of this Hon'ble Court and after promulgation of the Contracual Hiring Service Rules, 2022, the petitioner was absorbed under the Rules of 2022 and further given benefit of Rules, 2022 and presently he is being covered under the Rules of 2022.
3. Learned counsel has further submitted that only issue left in the writ petition is that the honorarium which was increased from time to time under the scheme is required to be paid

by the Panchayati Raj Department and same is neither been assessed nor been paid.

4. Learned counsel for respondents submits that if the honorarium is paid to similarly situated persons after increase under the scheme of the Government then the department shall examine and will make payment of the increased honorarium/arrear to the petitioner at earliest.
5. Having considered the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and material placed on record, the writ petition is disposed of on the basis of submissions of learned counsel for the parties with direction to the respondents to assess and compute honorarium for the period under the scheme of the Government which has been paid to similarly situated employees, as petitioner was not paid honorarium at increased rate. The assessment/computation shall be made within a period of 60 days from date of receipt of copy of the order and thereafter the requisite payment be made within a period of 60 days to the petitioner.
6. Accordingly, the writ petition along with misc. application, if any, stands disposed of.

(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J