




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8774/2026

Shanno Alias Ajranaj W/o Jakir Hussain, R/o Heeraman Ji Kabe Ka Chowk, Bundi Police Station Kotwali, District Bundi (Raj.)
(Presently Confined At District Jail Bundi).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. S.K. Gupta, Sr. Adv. assisted by Ms. Surabhi Agarwal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vijay Singh Yadav, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI CHIRANIA (V.J.)

Order

1.	Date of conclusion of Arguments	19.06.2026
2.	Date on which the judgment was reserved	19.06.2026
3.	Whether the full judgment or only operative part is pronounced	Full
4.	Date of pronouncement	22.06.2026

1. This instant bail application has been filed by the petitioner, aged about 40 years, who was arrested by the police in connection with FIR No.68/2023 dated 03.03.2023 registered at Police Station Kotwali Bundi, District Bundi for the offences punishable under Sections 342, 365, 306 & 34 of IPC, 1860.

2. Learned Senior Counsel submitted that petitioner and the relatives as named in the FIR alleged to have lend some money to the deceased which they demanded from him. As reported in the impugned FIR, they took him to their house where he consumed some substance, on account of which, he started vomiting. He



further submitted that on noticing this, the family members immediately took him to the hospital, however the deceased had expired in the hospital. He submits that the police, after conducting the investigation, has filed the charge sheet on 30.05.2026. Initially, the Co-ordinate Bench in a petition filed under Section 528 BNSS granted interim protection to the petitioner along with co-accused persons and after disposal of the said petition, she surrendered before the learned trial Court. He submits that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents of any nature and further, just because she was present in the house, where the deceased consumed some substance, she cannot be stated to be involved in the alleged offences in which the deceased died. In view thereof, it is prayed by learned Senior Counsel, S.K. Gupta that the petitioner be enlarged on bail.

3. Per contra, learned Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the bail application by referring to the inquiry note as well as the statement of some of the witnesses recorded under section 180 BNSS, 2023.

4. This Court, considered the fact that FIR was lodged in the year 2023 and thereafter, Police filed the charge-sheet. The allegations majorly are against the male members namely, Islamuddin, Nazim Hussain and Nasir. This Court, while considering the contents of the FIR and conclusion as recorded in the charge-sheet, noted that petitioner was present in the house, however, it has not come on record whether she was involved in inflicting any injuries to the deceased or in any other serious act. However, she fairly admitted that she was present in the house when the deceased was brought by her relatives. This Court also



noted that when the family members took note of the fact that the deceased was vomiting, he was immediately taken to the hospital, which *prima facie* shows that they may not have given any kind of poison to the deceased.

5. Be that as it may.

6. Without making any comments on the merits of the case and considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to exercise its power under Section 483 B.N.S.S. and deems it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioner on bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is hereby allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner namely, **Shanno Alias Ajranaj W/o Jakir Hussain** shall be released on bail, provided she executes a personal bond in a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial Court for her appearance before that Court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

8. The petitioner is further directed not to take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty. The observations made hereinabove are only for the decision of the bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(RAVI CHIRANIA (V.J.)),J