

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9262/2014
CNR: RJHC020534642014 | URN: CW / 15492U / 2014

1. Jaipur Development Authority, Through Its Secretary,
Indira Circle, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur Rajasthan
2. Deputy Commissioner, Zone-7, Jaipur Development
Authority, Indira Circle, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur
Rajasthan

----Petitioners

Versus

Smt. Kamla Devi W/o Shri Babulal Sahu, 12, 13, 14 and 15
Vidyut Nagar, Ajmer Road, Jaipur Rajasthan

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Amit Kuri
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Sandeep Pathak

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA

Order

17/08/2026

1. The instant writ petition has been filed by the petitioner-Jaipur Development Authority against order dated 07.07.2014 passed by the Appellate Tribunal, Jaipur Development Authority, Jaipur, whereby appeal filed by the sole respondent against notice under Section 72 of the Jaipur Development Authority Act, 1982, has been allowed.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that as the petitioner succeeded in persuading this Court to grant stay order against the order passed by the Appellate Tribunal, therefore, during the pendency of the instant writ petition, at the strength of interim order, the property-in-question has already been

demolished and road has already been constructed by the Jaipur Development Authority.

3. Under these circumstances, so far as the preliminary dispute is concerned, the same cannot be adjudicated in the instant writ petition.

4. However, learned counsel for the respondent submits that writ petition be disposed of while granting liberty to respondent to avail the remedy available to her in accordance with law.

5. Such request has not been opposed by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

6. In view of above, the instant writ petition filed by the petitioners is disposed of while modifying the order passed by the Appellate Tribunal in the manner that, in case, sole respondent approach the petitioners by way of filing a representation, same shall be considered by the Competent Authority strictly in accordance with law and a speaking order shall be passed and be communicated to the respondent within a period of 60 days from filing the representation.

7. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of this case, and rights and contentions of both the parties are kept open.

(ANAND SHARMA),J