

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8605/2026

URN: CRLMB / 15728U / 2026

1. Suresh S/o Panchu Lal, R/o Durgapura, Police Station Dablana, District Bundi (Rajasthan) (Presently Confined In District Jail Bundi).
2. Ranjeet S/o Panchu Lal, R/o Durgapura, Police Station Dablana, District Bundi (Rajasthan) (Presently Confined In District Jail Bundi).
3. Hansa Bai W/o Suresh, R/o Durgapura, Police Station Dablana, District Bundi (Rajasthan) (Presently Confined In District Jail Bundi).
4. Kali Bai W/o Ranjeet, R/o Durgapura, Police Station Dablana, District Bundi (Rajasthan) (Presently Confined In District Jail Bundi).
5. Meera Bai W/o Ladu, R/o Durgapura, Police Station Dablana, District Bundi (Rajasthan) (Presently Confined In District Jail Bundi).

-----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Nitin Kumar Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Manju Dave, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

17/07/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner, Hansa Bai, seeks permission to withdraw the present bail application with liberty to file a fresh bail application after the recording of statements of material witnesses before the trial Court.

2. Accordingly, the bail application filed by the petitioner, Hansa Bai, is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty, as prayed for.

3. The petitioners have preferred this bail application under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.98/2026 registered at Police Station Dablana, District Bundi for offences under Sections 115(2), 126(2) and 189(2) of BNS, 2023.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the specific allegations regarding causing the death of the quickly unborn child in the present case have been attributed to the co-accused Hansa, whereas, in respect of the present petitioners, there is only an omnibus allegation. The petitioners have been in custody since 12.05.2026. It is further submitted that petitioner Suresh has one antecedent, while petitioner Ranjeet has three antecedents, out of which two cases have already been decided. The trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners in further custody, consequently the bail application deserves to be allowed.

6. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application.

7. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and in light of submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners but without expressing any opinion on

merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioners.

8. Consequently, the bail application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is allowed and it is directed that the petitioners **Suresh S/o Panchu Lal, Ranjeet S/o Panchu Lal, Kali Bai W/o Ranjeet** and **Meera Bai W/o Ladu**, be released on bail provided each of them furnish a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that they shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J