

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8480/2026

1. Insaf S/o Ratti Khan, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Bhandara, Police Station Jurhara, District Deeg (Rajasthan). (At Present In Sub Jail Kaman, Deeg).
2. Sabir S/o Subana, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Bhandara, Police Station Jurhara, District Deeg (Rajasthan). (At Present In Sub Jail Kaman, Deeg).
3. Jilshad S/o Deenu, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Bhandara, Police Station Jurhara, District Deeg (Rajasthan). (At Present In Sub Jail Kaman, Deeg).

----Accused-Applicants

Versus

The State of Rajasthan, Through P.P.

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8481/2026

1. Afsar S/o Jafru, Aged 28 Years, R/o Bhandara, Police Station Jurhara, District Deeg (Rajasthan). (At Present In Sub Jail Kaman, Deeg).
2. Tauhid S/o Jafru, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Bhandara, Police Station Jurhara, District Deeg (Rajasthan). (At Present In Sub Jail Kaman, Deeg).

----Accused-Applicants


Versus

The State of Rajasthan, Through P.P.

----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8482/2026

1. Yasir S/o Sahab Khan, Age 21 Years, R/o Bhandara, Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg (Rajasthan). (At Present Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).
2. Irfan S/o Kalu, Age 32 Years, R/o Bhandara, Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg (Rajasthan). (At Present Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).
3. Rahish S/o Bajeer, Age 21 Years, R/o Bhandara, Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg (Rajasthan). (At Present



Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).

- 4. Talim S/o Bajeer, Age 25 Years, R/o Bhandara, Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg (Rajasthan). (At Present Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).
- 5. Saikul S/o Sahab Khan, Age 35 Years, R/o Bhandara, Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg (Rajasthan). (At Present Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).
- 6. Momin S/o Bajeer, Age 23 Years, R/o Bhandara, Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg (Rajasthan). (At Present Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).

-----Accused-Aplicants

Versus

The State of Rajasthan, Through P.P.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Vikram Singh Chauhan
Mr. Surendra Singh
Mr. Anoop Kumar
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Amit Kumar Gupta, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI (V.J.)

Order

23/06/2026

1. The instant bail applications have been filed by the accused-applicants by invoking the provisions of Section 483 of BNSS, 2023, the requisite details of which are as under:-

Sr. No.	Particulars	
1.	FIR No. and Date	139/2026 and 24.04.2026
2.	Concerned Police Station	Jurhera, District Deeg
3.	Offences as alleged in FIR	Under Sections 318(2), 319(2), 318(4) & 61(2)(a) of BNS, 2023
4.	Date of Arrest	24.04.2026

2. Learned counsel for the accused-applicants submit that the accused-applicants have been falsely implicated in the present

case and prima facie, no offence(s) as alleged in the abovementioned FIR is made out against them.

3. Learned counsels for the accused-applicants submit that a perusal of the FIR and so also the charge-sheet reveals that the allegation levelled against the accused-applicants is merely of preparation being done by them of fraudulently selling brass brick as a gold brick and there is no allegation of any such actual act being done by them.

4. Learned counsels further submit that the allegations are absolutely vague and there is no complaint of such bricks being sold by them. The charge sheet of the case has already been filed and all the alleged offences are triable by Magistrate. Learned counsel further submits that the accused-applicants are in custody since 24.04.2026, and the trial of the case will take sufficient time to conclude.

Hence, learned counsel prays that the accused-applicants be granted bail.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the averments made by learned counsel for the accused-applicants and submits that two previous offences of similar nature are registered against two of the accused-applicants, however, he could not dispute the fact that all the alleged offences involved in the present matter are triable by Magistrate.

6. Heard learned counsel for the accused-applicants and the learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

7. Having regard to the submissions advanced, the fact that charge sheet has already been filed, the presence of the accused-

applicants are no longer required, and all the alleged offences are triable by magistrate with the trial likely to take considerable time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused-applicants in custody. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the accused-applicants on bail.

8. Consequently, the present bail applications are **allowed** and the accused-applicants – **(i) Insaf S/o Ratti Khan, (ii) Sabir S/o Subana, (iii) Jilshad S/o Deenu, (iv) Afsar S/o Jafru, (v) Tauhid S/o Jafru, (vi) Yasir S/o Sahab Khan, (vii) Irfan S/o Kalu, (viii) Rahish S/o Bajeer, (ix) Talim S/o Bajeer, (x) Saikul S/o Sahab Khan and (xi) Momin S/o Bajeer** arrested in connection with the above-mentioned FIR be released on bail, if not wanted in any other case upon furnishing of personal bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of the same amount each, to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court, with the stipulation that they shall appear before the learned trial Court on all dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so until completion of the trial.

9. Additionally, the accused-applicants will also remain bound by the following conditions:-

(i) The accused-applicants shall not leave the country without seeking prior permission of the learned trial Court;

(ii) The accused-applicants shall not commit any offence similar to the offence(s) of which they stand accused of and in the event, the accused-applicants are found to be involved in any other similar case in the future, the prosecution shall be at liberty

to move an appropriate application before the learned trial Court for cancellation of the bail granted herein;

(iii) The accused-applicants shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade such person from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer/investigating authority; and

(iv) The accused-applicants shall not tamper with any evidence nor would influence any witnesses in the case.

(ANUROOP SINGHI (V.J.)),J