

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 121/2017

Smt. Kamla Devi wife of Late Shri Madan Lal, aged about 60 years, resident of Jurhera, Tehsil Kaman, District Bharatpur (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan through P.P.
2. Jagdish S/o Sohanlal
3. Rohtash S/o Hariram
4. Raju @ Rajkumar S/o Budhram,
All accused R/o Jurhera, P.S. Jurhera, District Bharatpur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	None present
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jitendra Singh Rathore, PP with Ms. Neha Goyal and Mr. Anirudh Singh

JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

29/04/2026

1. By way of filing the instant criminal misc. petition, a challenge has been led to the impugned judgment dated 08.12.2026 passed by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Kaman, Bharatpur, by which the appeal submitted by the accused-respondents against their conviction in the judgment dated 25.10.2013 passed by the Gram Nayaylaya, Kaman, Bharatpur has been accepted and the judgment passed by the Gram Nayayalya has been quashed and set-aside.

2. The Gram Nayaylaya vide judgment dated 25.10.2013 convicted the accused-respondents for the offence punishable under Section 147, 341, 323, 427 and 451 IPC, but granted

benefit of probation to them on the condition of maintaining peace and tranquility for a period of two years.

3. Aggrieved by the aforesaid, the respondents approached the Appellate Court by way of filing an appeal before the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Kaman, Bharatpur and the same was allowed vide impugned judgment dated 08.12.2026 and the accused-respondents have been acquitted from all the charges.

4. Aggrieved by the aforesaid, the complainant-petitioner has approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

5. None has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. Even on earlier occasions, when the matter was listed before this Court on 06.04.2017 and 02.09.2023 none has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. The instant matter is lying pending before this Court since 2017. It appears that the petitioner has lost interest in prosecuting the matter.

6. Accordingly, the instant criminal writ petition is dismissed for want of prosecution. The stay application and all pending applications, if any, also stand dismissed.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J