

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN**  
**BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Civil Revision Petition No. 191/2025

1. Prabhu Singh Son Of Late Shri Shyoram,
2. Mulakraj Singh Son Of Late Shri Shyoram,  
Both Resident Of Near Ramdev Ji Temple, Kala Talab,  
Tehsil Ladpura, District Kota (Rajasthan).
3. Shakti Singh @ Chhitar Singh Son Of Late Shri Shyoram,  
Resident Of Kala Talab, Tehsil Ladpura, District Kota  
(Rajasthan) Presently Residing At House No. 29,  
Samaraddi Nagar, Borkheda, Kota (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. Mahendra Singh Aged About 51 Years Son Of Late Heera  
Singh @ Heera,
2. Virendra Singh Aged About 38 Years Son Of Late Heera  
Singh @ Heera,
3. Surendra Singh Son Of Late Heera Singh @ Heera, Since  
Deceased Through His Legal Representatives-
- 3/1. Sheela Kanwar Aged About 39 Years Wife Of Late  
Surendra Singh,
- 3/2. Varsha Kumari Aged About 12 Years (Minor) Daughter Of  
Late Shri Surendra Singh,
- 3/3. Vinay Pratap Singh Aged About 10 Years (Minor) Son Of  
Late Shri Surendra Singh,
- 3/4. Sumer Singh Aged About 6 Years (Minor) Son Of Late  
Surendra Singh,  
Nos. 3/2 to 3/4 are Minor Through Their Natural Mother  
Sheela Kanwar, Aged About 39 Years Wife Of Late  
Surendra,  
All Resident Of Rang Talab @ Kala Talab, Tehsil Ladpura,  
District Kota(Rajasthan)

-----Plaintiff Respondents

4. M/s G.D. P. Associate through partners Dhanna Lal Yogi  
son of Ishwar Lal yogi, resident of kala talab, opposite  
Ganesh Ji Temple, Kota (Rajasthan) and Irfan Khan son of  
shri Abdul Gaffar, resident of Nai Basti, Rang Talab, Kota  
(Rajasthan).

5. Kota Development Authority, Kota Through Its Secretary, Kota (Rajasthan).
6. State Of Rajasthan, Tehsil Ladpura, District Kota (Rajasthan).

-----Respondents

---

|                   |   |                       |
|-------------------|---|-----------------------|
| For Petitioner(s) | : | Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg |
| For Respondent(s) | : | Mr. Samarth Sharma    |

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR**

**Order**

**16/04/2026**

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submit that the suit has already been disposed of, therefore, the present petition has become infructuous.
2. Accordingly, this civil revision petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.
3. Stay application and any other pending applications also stand disposed of.

**(ASHUTOSH KUMAR),J**