

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8459/2026
URN: CRLMB / 15405U / 2026

Sarfuddin Fakir S/o Abdul Fakir, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Village Singhanmeena, At Present Islampura, Hindaun City, District Karauli.

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 10906/2026
URN: CRLMB / 20209U / 2026

Hakim Singh S/o Devi Singh, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Shahganj, Hindauncity, District Karauli (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Mahander Meena
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Manju Dave, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

17/07/2026

1. Apprehending their arrest in connection with FIR No.271/2025 registered at Police Station-Hindon Sadar, District-Karauli for the offences under Section 112(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 13 of Rajasthan Public Gambling Ordinance, 1949.
2. Since both these bail applications arise out of common FIR, hence, they are being decided by this common order.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in these cases. It is further submitted that the petitioners have been implicated in the present case on the basis of any disclosure statement made by the prime accused, Vinod. Rather, it is the prime accused, Vinod, from whose possession the alleged recovery has been effected. The petitioners have been falsely implicated by the police. It is further submitted that the case was initially registered only for the minor offence under Section 13 of the RPGO Act, which is bailable in nature. Subsequently, the police added Section 112(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 just to enhance the gravity of case. Similarly situated co-accused, Amir Khan, has been enlarged on bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 13.02.2026. Likewise, another co-accused, Ali Qureshi, has also been enlarged on bail by this Court vide order dated 27.03.2026. It is also submitted that the case of the present petitioners is identical to that of the co-accused. The petitioners are ready and willing to join and cooperate with the investigation. Hence, the anticipatory bail of the petitioners may be allowed.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the nature of allegations and the fact that the petitioners have joined the investigation of the case but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, I deem it just and proper to allow the anticipatory bail applications of the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, the bail applications under Section 482 BNSS are allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest of the petitioners-**Sarfuddin Fakir S/o Abdul Fakir** and **Hakim Singh S/o Devi Singh**, they shall be released on bail on their furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the S.H.O/I.O/Arresting Officer of the concerned Police Station on the following conditions:-

- (i) that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- (ii) that the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade their from disclosing such facts to the court or any police officer, and
- (iii) that the petitioners shall not leave India without previous permission of the court.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J