

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN**  
**BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 9223/2025

Karauli District Cricket Association

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan

-----Respondent

---

|                   |   |                                                                                     |
|-------------------|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner(s) | : | Mr. Vibhuti Bhushan Sharma,<br>Advocate assisted by Mr. Clevan<br>Cletus, Advocate. |
| For Respondent(s) | : | Mr. Manoj Sharma, Additional<br>Advocate General.                                   |

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA (V. J.)**

**Order**

**11/06/2025**

1. This S. B. Civil Writ Petition has been filed by the petitioner against the order dated 06.06.2025 passed by the Appellate Authority and Secretary (Youth Affairs and Sports Department, Jaipur) in appeal no.F.10(7) Sports/2005 whereby the stay order earlier granted has been vacated.

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner would submit that earlier also, the petitioner approached this Court by way of filing of S. B. Civil Writ Petition No.6703/2025 which was disposed of in the presence of counsel for the respondent-State vide order dated 05.05.2025 with the following observations:

"Heard. Perused.

In view of the aforesaid factual position and the limited prayer made by the petitioner-Association, I deem it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with direction to the Appellate Authority i.e. Secretary to the Government, Department of Youth Affairs and Sports to either decide the stay application filed along with the appeal on the given date after providing opportunity of hearing to the concerned parties.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.”

3. Learned counsel would further submit that in compliance of the order dated 05.05.2025 passed by this Court, the Appellate Authority was required to pass a reasoned and speaking order on the stay application filed by the petitioner-appellant before the Appellate Authority, however, bare perusal of order dated 06.06.2025 would reveal that the order has been passed in a quite cryptic and vague manner without assigning any reason whatsoever. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the Appellate Authority is expected to pass a detailed order dealing with prima facie case, balance of convenience and irreparable injury while deciding the stay application.

4. Learned counsel would further submit that abrupt vacation of stay by a cryptic order is causing miscarriage of justice to the petitioner.

5. In compliance of the order dated 10.06.2025 passed in the instant writ petition, learned Additional Advocate General Mr. Manoj Sharma is present in the Court on behalf of respondents no.1 & 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that notice of the writ petition was already served in the office of learned Additional Advocate General on 10.06.2025 itself.

6. Issue notices to respondents no.3 to 6.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to file necessary P.F. and notices in two sets for service upon respondents no.3 to 6. Service shall be effected through dasti as well as by registered post. Failing to take necessary steps in this regard within three days would automatically entail dismissal of the writ petition without reference to the Court.

8. Learned Additional Advocate General seeks two weeks' time to file reply to the writ petition.
9. In the aforesaid circumstances and the in the interest of justice, till next date, operation of order dated 06.06.2025 shall remain stayed and both the parties shall also maintain status quo as exists today.
10. List this matter on 02.07.2025 for filing of reply.

(ANAND SHARMA (V. J.)),J

Tushar/446