

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8438/2026

Akbar S/o Ishab, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Banaini Dhaunkla,
Police Station Brijnagar District Deeg. (At Present Confined In
Sub Jail Deeg District Deeg).

-----Accused-Applicant

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Applicant(s)	:	Mr. Surendra Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manvendra Singh Shekhawat, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI (V.J.)
Order

23/06/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed by the accused – applicant by invoking the provisions of Section 483 of BNSS, 2023, the requisite details of which are as under:-

Sr. No.	Particulars	
1.	FIR No. and Date	34/2016 dated 29.01.2016
2.	Concerned Police Station	Nagar, District Bharatpur
3.	Offences as alleged in FIR	Under Sections 458, 380 and 383 of IPC, 1860.
4.	Date of Arrest	04.05.2026

2. Learned counsel for the accused–applicant submits that the accused - applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and prima facie, no offence(s) as alleged in the above mentioned FIR is made out against him.

3. Learned counsel for the accused - applicant submits that the offence alleged against the accused-applicant in the FIR and so also in the charge-sheet pertains to theft of a car, along with other co-accused(s).

4. Learned counsel further submits that the accused-applicant is in custody since 04.05.2026 and charge-sheet has already been filed in the matter and the trial of the case will take sufficient time to conclude.

Learned counsel further submits that with respect to the same FIR, other co-accused(s) viz. Shabbir, Jahid @ Bulty and Fakaru have already been granted bail by Co-ordinate Benches of this Court.

Hence, learned counsel prays that the accused-applicant be granted bail.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the averments made by learned counsel for the accused - applicant, and submits that there are two criminal antecedents of the accused-applicant.

6. Heard learned counsel for the accused-applicant and the learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

7. Having regard to the submissions advanced, and the fact that charge-sheet has already been filed in the matter, the presence of the accused - applicant is no longer required with the trial likely to take considerable time to conclude and the fact that other co-accused(s) have already been granted the benefit of bail, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused - applicant in custody. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the accused - applicant on bail.

8. Consequently, the present bail application is **allowed** and the accused-applicant **Akbar S/o Ishab** arrested in connection with the above mentioned FIR be released on bail, if not wanted in

any other case upon furnishing of personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of the same amount each, to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court, with the stipulation that he shall appear before the learned trial Court on all dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so until completion of the trial.

9. Additionally, the accused – applicant will also remain bound by the following conditions:-

(i) The accused – applicant shall not leave the country without seeking prior permission of the learned trial Court;

(ii) The accused – applicant shall not commit any offence similar to the offence(s) of which he stands accused of and in the event, the accused – applicant is found to be involved in any other similar case in the future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application before the learned trial Court for cancellation of the bail granted herein;

(iii) The accused – applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade such person from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer/investigating authority; and

(iv) The accused – applicant shall not tamper with any evidence nor would influence any witnesses in the case.

(v) The accused-applicant shall regularly mark his attendance in the concerned police station on 25th day of every month and the concerned S.H.O. shall maintain the register of attendance of the accused-applicant.

(ANUROOP SINGHI (V.J.)),J