

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 3674/2024

1.

Vansh Son Of Nitin, Resident Of Village Nagla Khar Police Station Rudawal District Bharatpur.
2.

Rajiv Son Of Ranjeet, Resident Of Village Nagla Khar Police Station Rudawal District Bharatpur.
3.

Ashu Son Of Balvir, Resident Of Village Nagla Khar Police Station Rudawal District Bharatpur.
4.

Saurabh Son Of Balvir, Resident Of Village Nagla Khar Police Station Rudawal District Bharatpur.
5.

Sohil Son Of Shriman, Resident Of Village Nagla Khar Police Station Rudawal District Bharatpur.
6.

Ankit Son Of Hakim, Resident Of Village Nagla Khar Police Station Rudawal District Bharatpur.
7.

Gaurav Son Of Hakim, Resident Of Village Nagla Khar Police Station Rudawal District Bharatpur.
8.

Chaman Son Of Prem Singh, Resident Of Village Nagla Khar Police Station Rudawal District Bharatpur.
9.

Karan Son Of Manoj, Resident Of Village Nagla Khar Police Station Rudawal District Bharatpur.
10.

Arjun Son Of Manoj, Resident Of Village Nagla Khar Police Station Rudawal District Bharatpur.
11.

Subhash Son Of Sajjan, Resident Of Village Nagla Khar Police Station Rudawal District Bharatpur.
12.

Nindo Son Of Sajjan, Resident Of Village Nagla Khar Police Station Rudawal District Bharatpur.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1.

State Of Rajasthan, Through The Pp
2.

Ramesh Chand Son Of Kartar Singh, Resident Of Nagla Khar, Rudawal District Bharatpur.
- Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Jaswant Singh for Mr. Harendra Singh
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jitendra Singh Rathore, PP Mr. Naresh Sharma, CI, P.S. Rudawal, Bharatpur (IO)

JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

18/05/2026

1. By way of filing the instant criminal misc. petition, a prayer has been made to quash the impugned FIR No.202/2024, registered with Police Station Rudawal, District Bharatpur for the offence punishable under Section 302 IPC.
2. Counsel for the petitioners submits that in-fact the deceased committed suicide, but impugned FIR was lodged against the petitioners based on false and incorrect allegations that they murdered the deceased. Counsel submits that on an earlier occasion, Final Report (Negative) was submitted before the concerned Court, but the learned Magistrate remitted the matter to the Investigating Agency for conducting further investigation. Counsel submits that there is no evidence against the petitioners to connect them with the alleged incident. Hence, interference of this Court is warranted.
3. At the outset, learned Public Prosecutor apprised this Court that in pursuance of the order dated 18.10.2024, passed by the Judicial Magistrate, Roopbas, District Bharatpur, the matter was further investigated by the Investigating Agency and no different result from the earlier investigation was found in the subsequent investigation. Therefore, the Police is in process of filing conclusion report before the concerned Court. Hence, under the changed circumstances, the instant petition has become infructuous.
4. Considering the above, the instant criminal misc. petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. The stay application as well as all pending application(s), if any, also stand dismissed.

5. However, the Investigating Officer would be at liberty to submit conclusion report before the concerned Court expeditiously, as early as possible.

6. In case any cause of action accrues with the petitioners, they would be at liberty to file a fresh petition.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J

KuD/15