

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Appeal (Sb) No. 1145/2026

Rajendra Son Of Shri Rameshwarlal, Aged About 32 Years,
Resident Of Roopuka Bas, Police Station Thanagazi, District
Alwar (Raj.).

(The Accused-Appellant Presently Confined In District Jail,
Alwar). -----Accused-Appellant

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor
-----Respondent
2. Victim wife of Shri Mahendra, resident of Guwada,
Bhopala, Police Station Thanagazi, District Alwar (Raj.).
----- Complainant/Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Appeal (Sb) No. 1146/2026

Mukesh Kumar, Son Of Badriprasad Sharma, Aged About 50
Years, Resident Of Roop Ka Bas, Police Station Thanagazi,
District Alwar (Raj.).

(The Accused-Petitioner Presently Confined In District Jail,
Alawr). -----Accused-Appellant

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through S.P.P.
-----Respondent
2. Victim w/o Shri Mahendra, resident of Guwada, Bhopala,
Police Station Thanagazi, District Alwar (Raj).
-----Complainant/Respondent

For Appellant(s)	:	Mr. Rajneesh Gupta Mr. Rahul Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vijay Singh Yadav, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI CHIRANIA (V.J.)
Order

1.	Date of conclusion of Arguments	22.06.2026
2.	Date on which the order was reserved	22.06.2026
3.	Whether the full order or only operative part is pronounced	Full
4.	Date of pronouncement	25.06.2026

1. The instant appeals have been filed under Section 14-A(2) of the SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 on behalf of the appellants, in connection with the FIR bearing No.254/2023 dated 06.07.2023 registered at Police Station Thanagazi, District Alwar for the offences punishable under section 149, 323, 341, 354, 447, 379 and 309 of IPC, 1860 and Sections 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s) and 3(2)(va) of the SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities), 1989 against the order dated 14.05.2026 passed by the learned Special Judge, SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act Cases, Alwar whereby, the bail application preferred under Section 483 B.N.S.S., 2023 on behalf of the appellants was rejected.

2. Learned counsel submitted that the appellants are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submitted that the impugned FIR was registered in the year 2023. It was submitted that the investigation against the appellants had initially been kept pending, however, later, without any evidence, the police arraigned the appellants as accused persons and arrested them. It was also submitted that the other co-accused persons have already been enlarged on bail. In the aforesaid circumstances, learned counsel prayed that the appellants may also be enlarged on bail by allowing the present appeals.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the appeals and submitted that the impugned FIR was lodged in the year 2023 containing allegations under the SC/ST Act in addition to the offences under IPC, 1860. He further submitted that appellant-Rajendra is also involved in another case under SC/ST Act registered in the year 2019 and apart from the said case, two other criminal cases are also pending against him. It was,

therefore, submitted that the appellants do not deserve to be granted the benefit of bail in the facts and circumstances of the case.

4. This Court has considered the nature of the allegations levelled in the FIR and the material available on record. It is not in dispute that the FIR was lodged in the year 2023. *Prima facie*, the record indicates that the appellants neither appeared before the investigating agency nor co-operated with the investigation for a considerable period and have approached this Court only after their arrest.

5. This Court has also given thought to the fact that appellant - Rajendra is stated to be involved in another case under the SC/ST Act of the year 2019. Having regard to the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the conduct of the appellants, the alleged non-cooperation during investigation, and the criminal antecedents attributed to appellant Rajendra, this Court finds no justification and reason to allow the present appeals.

6. In view of the above, the present appeals stands dismissed.

7. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(RAVI CHIRANIA (V.J.)),J