

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8120/2025

Jcl Infra Private Limited
-----Petitioner
Versus
Jaipur Development Authority
-----Respondent

Connected With
S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 17571/2024
Jcl Infra Private Limited
-----Petitioner
Versus
Jaipur Development Authority
-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. S. S. Hora with Mr. Adesh Arora Mr. O. P. Singh Tanwar
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Amit Kuri with Mr. Ayush Sharma Mr. Devendra Gupta, Director Engineer-I

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAMEER JAIN

Order

22/04/2026

1. In the instant matter, the Officer-in-Charge has marked his presence before the Court and has tendered explanation qua the actions taken by the respondent-Authority.
2. Upon perusal of the note-sheets, it is observed by this Court that despite of extending the time granted and despite of bank guarantee to the tune of approximately Rs. 5.5 Crores being released, no appropriate notices under Clause 32 of the governing tender document were issued.

3. It is further observed that the tender in question was issued after engaging service of an expert as per the officer order issued under the Rajasthan Transparency in Public Procurement Act, 2012. Tenders qua engaging of a consultant and a sum of approximately Rs. 5 crores was paid to the said consultant for making the necessary drawings. Upon the same, the present NIT was floated and after due technical and financial evaluation, the work order was executed.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent-JDA has submitted that the present petition is not maintainable as the petitioner is not duly authorized to file the present petition. It is next submitted that the claim put forth by the petitioner that amount to the tune of approximately Rs. 20 Crores is invested has no legs to stand, as the same is not backed by any approval or issued on some drawings, which were pre-requirement. It is also submitted that multiple letters were communicated by the respondent-JDA qua delay and other performance issues on the part of contractor, in the allotted work, which are also brought on record by way of additional reply on 16.04.2026. It is further submitted that as per the provisions enshrined under Clause 32 of the governing tender document, the respondent-JDA upon its discretion can withdraw the allotted work and contract for any reason.

5. Officer In-charge, has submitted that MNIT has given some objections and suggestions qua the change in the project and size thereof. It is also submitted that there were two bottlenecks in getting the clear site as projected on the said project. It is further submitted that petitioner has not executed the work from the very inception of the agreement.



[CW-8120/2025]

6. List these matters tomorrow i.e. on 23.04.2026.
7. It is made clear that all the records pertaining to the instant matter be also made available on the next date of hearing.

(SAMEER JAIN),J

Pooja /100-101