

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

D.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 506/2025
CNR: RJHC020445322025 | URN: CCP / 535U / 2025

Madan Lal Sharma son of Ram Narayan Sharma, Aged About 73
Years, resident of Manga Ram Bhawan, Mangaram Colony,
District - Bikaner (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Dr. Samit Sharma, Principal Secretary To The
Government, Animal Husbandry Department, Government
Of Rajasthan, Government Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Dr. Bhawani Singh, Director, Animal Husbandry
Department, Ganghi Nagar, Jaipur.
3. State Of Rajasthan Through The Chief Secretary,
Government Of Rajasthan, Government Secretariat,
Jaipur.

-----Respondents- Contemnors

Connected With

D.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 1225/2024
CNR: RJHC021072902024 | URN: CCP / 1318U / 2024

1. R.s. Trivedi S/o Shri Madhukar Ji Shastri Father/o
Madhukar Ji Shastri, Aged About 71 Years, Resident Of
Madan Bari, Nayakhera, Jaipur (Raj.)
2. G.s. Gupta S/o Shri Shiv Ram Gupta, Aged About 73
Years, A-33, Sarawati Nagar, Malviya Nagar, Jaipur

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. Dr. Samit Sharma, Principal Secretary To The
Government, Animal Husbandry Department, Government
Of Rajasthan, Government Secretariat, Jaipur
2. Dr. Bhawani Singh, Director, Animal Husbandry
Department, Gandhi Nagar, Jaipur
3. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary,
Department Of Animal Husbandry Department,
Government Of Rajasthan, Government Secretariat,
Jaipur.

-----Respondents- Contemnors

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Brijesh Yadav
For Respondent(s) : Ms. Pooja Sharma with
Mr. Abhishek Sharma for
Mr. Manoj Sharma, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJEET SINGH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP TANEJA

Order

18/08/2026

1. This contempt petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner for non-compliance with the order dated 19.10.2024 passed by the Division Bench of this Court in D.B. Civil Review Petition No. 100/2024 as well as of the order dated 11.07.2018 passed by the learned Single Judge of this Court in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.3424/2009.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that while calculating the arrears of pension as directed by the learned Single Judge, the respondents have not granted the benefits of selection scheme as well as Assured Career Progression (ACP) benefits.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents oppose the submissions and submit that all due amount towards pension and gratuity along with the interest @ 9% per annum has already been paid to the petitioner as directed by the learned Single Judge as well as the Division Bench of this Court. Counsel further submit that they have fully complied with the orders passed by the learned Single Judge as well as the Division Bench of this Court.

4. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the orders passed by learned Single Judge as well as the Division Bench of this Court.

5. We are satisfied with the compliance made by the respondents that substantial compliance has already been made by the respondents as per the orders passed by this Court.

6. In that view of the matter, these contempt petitions are disposed of. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to file a fresh writ petition with regard to claim regarding selection scale and ACP.

(SANDEEP TANEJA),J

(INDERJEET SINGH),J