

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Miscellaneous Appeal No. 2519/2015

Riico Jaipur Through M D

----Appellant

Versus

Madan Mohan And Others

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Civil Miscellaneous Appeal No. 2513/2015

Riico Jaipur Through M D

----Appellant

Versus

Ramesh Chand A Nd Others

----Respondent

S.B. Civil Miscellaneous Appeal No. 2520/2015

Riico Jaipur Through M D

----Appellant

Versus

Bhimsen And Others

----Respondent

S.B. Civil Miscellaneous Appeal No. 2521/2015

Riico Jaipur Through M D

----Appellant

Versus

Dwarka Prasad And Others

----Respondent

S.B. Civil Miscellaneous Appeal No. 2522/2015

Riico Jaipur Through M D

----Appellant

Versus

Yad Ram And Another

----Respondent

S.B. Civil Miscellaneous Appeal No. 2728/2015

Madan Mohan And Others

----Appellant

Versus

State/distt Collector Karoli And Others

----Respondent

S.B. Civil Miscellaneous Appeal No. 2753/2015

Ramesh Chand



[CMA-2519/2015]

----Appellant

Versus

State/distt Collector Karoli And Others

----Respondent

S.B. Civil Miscellaneous Appeal No. 2761/2015

Bheem Sain And Others

----Appellant

Versus

State/distt Collector Karoli And Others

----Respondent

S.B. Civil Miscellaneous Appeal No. 2762/2015

Yad Ram And Others

----Appellant

Versus

State/distt Collector Karoli And Others

----Respondent

S.B. Civil Miscellaneous Appeal No. 3084/2015

Dwarika Prasad

----Appellant

Versus

State/distt Collector Karoli And Others

----Respondent

For Appellant(s)	:	Mr. Jitendra Mishra
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. M.M. Ranjan, Sr. Adv. Assisted by Mr. Lokesh Tiwari

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIPIN GUPTA

Order

24/11/2025

1. The matter comes upon application No.1/2025 for early listing of the case.
2. For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed.
3. Subsequently, application No.2/2025, also filed for early listing, is disposed of in view of the application No.1/2025.

4. The matter comes upon application No.2/2021 which has been filed by the claimant-respondent for modification of the order dated 27.01.2021. It is stated by learned counsel for the claimant-respondent that inadvertently in the order dated 27.01.2021, the 600 Sq. Ft. has come in 6th line of the order whereas it ought to be Rs.600 per Sq. ft.
5. The learned counsel for the appellant could not refute the fact that the amount can never be in Sq. ft. Therefore, this Court finds it to be a typographical error.
6. For the reasons mentioned above, the application is allowed and in place of 600 Sq. ft. as recorded in line No.6 of the order dated 27.01.2021, the same may be read as Rs.600 per Sq. ft. Rest Part of the order remains the same.
7. List this matter on 17.12.2025 for admission.

(BIPIN GUPTA),J