

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN**  
**BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7211/2026  
URN: CRLMB / 13116U / 2026

Ramhari S/o Shri Meghsingh, R/o Atarsuma, Police Station Basedi, District Dholpur (Raj.). (At Present Confined In District Jail, Dholpur).

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

---

|                   |   |                                                                                    |
|-------------------|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner(s) | : | Mr. Anil Jain                                                                      |
| For Respondent(s) | : | Mr. Vijay Singh Yadav, PP<br>Mr. Mukesh Kumar, ASI, PS Basedi,<br>District Dholpur |

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR**

**Order**

**09/07/2026**

1. The petitioner has preferred this bail application under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.205/2024 registered at Police Station Basedi, District Dholpur for offences under Sections 143, 323, 341, 307 and 506 of IPC, 1860.
2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that though there is an allegation against the present petitioner for causing life-threatening injuries to injured Abhishek but as per the Medical Officer, the alleged injury is located on the left thigh, which is not a vital part of the body. He further submits that the Medical Officer has not reported any fracture or bone

injury. Therefore, the injury, by its very nature, ought to be treated as simple. It is further contended that the Investigating Officer (I.O.), in connivance with the Medical Officer and with mala fide intent, has wrongly obtained or recorded an opinion that the injury was dangerous to life. It is submitted that co-accused Rohitash who has criminal past of seven cases has already been granted bail by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 18.09.2025. The case of the petitioner is akin to him. Petitioner is behind the bars since 28.03.2026 though six cases have been reported against him, however, in one case he got the order of acquittal and in remaining cases he is already on bail. Charge-sheet has already been filed. The trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in further custody and on the ground of parity with other co-accused, the bail application deserves to be allowed.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application.

5. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and in light of submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioner.

6. Consequently, the bail application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is allowed and it is directed that the petitioner Ramhari S/o Shri Meghsingh, be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial

court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J

Chandan/16