

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7136/2026

Adil S/o Ismail, Aged 23 Years, R/o Ahero Ka Jhopda, Jaitpur,
Police Station Dei, District Bundi Raj. (At Present Confined At
District Jail Bundi).

-----Accused Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Non-Petitioner

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sudarshan Laddha
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manvendra Singh, PP

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANGEETA SHARMA

Order

08/05/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS in connection with FIR No. 66/2026 registered at Police Station Karvar, District Bundi for the offence(s) punishable under Sections 109(1) and 3(5) of BNS.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; that the accused-petitioner is in custody since 16.04.2026. He further submits that there are no criminal antecedents against the accused-petitioner. He further submits that injured Umardeen has sustained two injuries, one on vital part, the chest and another on the left hand. He further submits that injury sustained by the injured on the vital part is not attributed to accused-petitioner Adil. He also submits that the recovery against the accused-

petitioner has already been effected; conclusion of trial will take its own time, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the accused-petitioner behind the bars and, therefore, prays that the accused-petitioner may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the accused-petitioner hereinabove.

4. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for both the parties; that the accused-petitioner is in custody since 16.04.2026; that as per the order of learned Court below, it is evident that the accused-petitioner have no criminal antecedents; that injury sustained by the injured on the vital part is not attributed to accused-petitioner Adil; that the recovery against the accused-petitioner has already been effected; trial will take its own time. Hence, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case, deems it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioner on bail.

5. Therefore, the instant bail application under Section 483 BNSS is accordingly, **allowed** and the accused-petitioner **Adil S/o Ismail** is ordered to be released on bail, provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

6. It is made clear that the accused-petitioner shall not involve in any offence(s) during currency of the bail.

7. In case of any breach of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to move the application against the accused-petitioner for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

8. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

9. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned trial Court through e-mail/fax, for necessary compliance.

(SANGEETA SHARMA),J