

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8283/2026

All Rajasthan Private College Federation Society

-----Petitioner

Versus

Raj Rishi Bhartrihari Matsya University

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5892/2025

All Rajasthan Private College Federation Society

-----Petitioner

Versus

Raj Rishi Bhartrihari Matsya University

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Amit Kumar Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Sudhir Yadav Mr. Vinod Kumar Gupta

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAMEER JAIN

Order

20/05/2026

By way of present bunch of petitions, a challenge is made to the increase of 10 per cent per annum affiliation fee, making it from Rs. 1,20,000/- to Rs. 1,32,000/-.

However, it is noted by this Court that for previous two years the increment and fee is stayed by way of an interim order passed by the Court, therefore, the comparison from Rs. 1,20,000/- to Rs. 1,32,000/- is farce and absurd, as for last three years in spite of increment, the same is not put to implementation.

Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that there is commensurate increment on the expenses which are borne by the University.

Considering the foregoing facts and circumstances of the case, considering the reliance placed by learned counsel for the petitioner upon Ordinance 44-45 and the judgment dated 25.02.2025 passed in i.e. **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 804/2025** titled **Private Physiotherapy, Nursing & Para Medical Institutions Society Vs. Rajasthan University of Health Sciences**, this Court *prima facie* observes that Ordinance 44, 45 and the judgment cited above are not applicable as there is no clause which restricts the increment in the affiliation fee. Even otherwise, this Court cannot agree to a blanket four-year extension and subsequent non implementation of the same, qua the current commercial terms with the University. Any long-term extension must account for rising expenses by including proportional fee increments, thus, the said increased fee appears to be justified.

Accordingly, the stay applications (7812/2026 & 5388/2025) stand dismissed.

As substantial arguments are heard in the instant interwoven batch of petitions, the same are being **admitted**.

List these matters in the first week of July, 2026.

(SAMEER JAIN),J