

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8283/2026

All Rajasthan Private College Federation Society,

-----Petitioner

Versus

Raj Rishi Bhartrihari Matsya University

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Amit Kumar Sharma

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Sudhir Yadav

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAMEER JAIN

Order

08/05/2026

1. The present petition has been filed by a Private College Federation Society, an association of private colleges, assailing the 10% increment in the college fees *qua* the present academic session.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said fee increment is arbitrary and in flagrant violation of Section 44 and Section 45 of the Raj Rishi Bhartrihari Matsya University, Alwar Act, 2012. Placing reliance upon Annexure-5, it is submitted that *qua* the increase of fees for the respective academic years, the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court has already passed an interim protection in favor of the petitioner therein vide order dated 23.04.2025.

3. *Per contra*, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-University submits that the present petition is tainted with *mala fides*. It is submitted that when a similar petition was initially filed before the Principal Seat of this Court at Jodhpur, the

association comprised merely 4 members; however, the present petition has been strategically filed with 121 members.

4. It is further submitted that the territorial domain and jurisdiction of the respondent-University are confined only to three districts of Rajasthan, namely Khairthal-Tijara, Kotputli-Behror, and Alwar. It is next submitted that the respondent-University is merely following and implementing the overarching policy decisions formulated by the University of Rajasthan.

5. It is next submitted that the petitioner-association has deliberately concealed and failed to enclose the relevant statutory ordinances pertaining to the fee structure. It is also submitted that the petitioners have failed to justify why the administrative fees realized for essential facilities, such as sports, inspections, library, and other infrastructural factors, should not be subject to a nominal increment, especially when there has been a commensurate increase in the tuition fees charged by the respective private colleges from the students.

6. Lastly, placing reliance upon a judicial precedent, wherein the writ petition under identical facts and circumstances came to be dismissed, it is submitted that the core *lis* in question no longer survives for adjudication.

7. Heard the preliminary submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties.

8. List the matter on 14.05.2026 in the supplementary cause list, along with S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5892/2025.

9. Reply be filed in the meantime.

(SAMEER JAIN),J