

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

D.B. Civil Writ (PIL) Petition No. 8518/2024

Bhartiya Jan Samridhi Hat Karobari Trade Association

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan & Others

-----Respondents

Connected With

1. D.B. Civil Writ (PIL) Petition No. 5728/2019
2. D.B. Civil Writ (PIL) Petition No. 4122/2024
3. D.B. Civil Writ (PIL) Petition No. 4515/2024
4. D.B. Civil Writ (PIL) Petition No. 6204/2024
5. D.B. Civil Writ (PIL) Petition No. 8136/2024

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sanjay Joshi, Adv. Mr. Yogesh Taylor, Adv. for Mr. Vimal Chand Chaudhary, Adv. Mr. Himanshu Jain, Adv. for Mr. Shovit Jhaharia, Adv. Mr. Ravi Kant Agarwal, Adv.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. G.S. Gill, AAG with Ms. Shikha Sharma, Adv. Mr. Vigyan Shah, AAG Mr. Bhunesh Sharma, AAG with Ms. Megha Sharma, Adv.

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

Order

07/10/2024

Heard on Application (I.A. No.01/24 in D.B. Civil Writ (PIL) Petition No. 5728/2019 and I.A. No. 01/2024 in D.B. Civil Writ (PIL) Petition No. 4122/2024) for impleadment.

Taking into consideration that the persons seeking impleadment are those who claim vending right at the disputed area, they are permitted to intervene in opposition of the petition, though without filing any pleadings.

Applications are accordingly allowed in the manner and to the extent as indicated above.

Heard on Application (I.A. No.02/2024 in D.B. Civil Writ (PIL) Petition No. 4122/2024) for recalling the order dated 13.03.2024 passed by learned Single Judge who had taken cognizance.

Various orders have already been passed by this Court in the course of proceedings of this PIL.

None has appeared to press the application for recalling of order dated 13.03.2024.

Application is, therefore, disposed off without being sent to the concerned Bench.

The only issue which arises for consideration in this case is whether the vendors who are vending in the vending zone with due license, could be removed without following due process of law.

Learned Additional Advocate General would submit that due to growing vehicle traffic problem on the main streets of the City of Jaipur, a decision was taken to discontinue certain areas as vending zone. He, however, would submit that the decisions taken at various levels for the time being have been kept in abeyance and in future, as and when appropriate decisions are taken by a Competent Authority under the provisions of the applicable municipal/local laws, this Court would be accordingly apprised.

List these cases after twelve weeks.

Interim order(s), if any, shall continue.

(ASHUTOSH KUMAR),J

(MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA),CJ