

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7025/2026

1. Rohitash S/o Sh. Ramnath, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Bhavgarh, Police Station Raisar, District Jaipur. (At Present Confined In Central Jail Jaipur).
2. Tarachand S/o Sh. Shankarlal, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Bhavgarh, Police Station Raisar, District Jaipur. (At Present Confined In Central Jail Jaipur).

----Accused/Petitioners

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, through P.P.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Vikash Kumar Jakhar Mr. Sanju Buldak
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manvendra Singh Choudhary, PP

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANGEETA SHARMA

Order

07/05/2026

1. This bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioners under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. in connection with FIR No. 04/2026 registered at Police Station Chandwaji, District Jaipur Rural for the offence(s) under Section(s) 189(2), 352, 115(2), 126(2), 324(4), 140(3) of BNS and Section 3(2)(va) of SC/ST Act.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel also contends that the petitioners are in custody since long and recovery has already been effected. He further submits that petitioners are not required for further investigation and conclusion of trial may take long time, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners in custody and therefore,

prays that the petitioners may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners hereinabove and submits that there is one criminal antecedent against accused-petitioner Rohitash S/o Sh. Ramnath and two criminal antecedents against accused-petitioner Tarachand S/o Sh. Shankarlal.

4. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioners are in custody since long; they are not required for further investigation and trial will take its own time. Hence, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case deems it just and proper to enlarge the petitioners on bail.

5. Therefore, this bail application under Section 483 BNSS is accordingly, **allowed** and the accused-petitioners **Rohitash S/o Sh. Ramnath & Tarachand S/o Sh. Shankarlal** are ordered to be released on bail, provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the trial Court, with the stipulation that they shall appear before that Court and any court to which the matter is transferred on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

6. It is made clear that the accused-petitioners shall not be involved in any other offence(s) during currency of the bail and they shall mark his presence once in a month in the concerned police station.

7. In case of any breach of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to to move the application against the accused-petitioners for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

8. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

9. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned trial Court through e-mail/fax, for necessary compliance.

(SANGEETA SHARMA),J