

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7074/2026

Pawan S/o Mihilal, aged about 30 years, R/o Dihauli, Police Station Dihauli, District Dholpur (Raj.)
(At present confined in District Jail Dholpur).

-----accused-applicant

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Bhawani Saini Mr. Subhash Chander Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manvendra Singh Shekhawat, P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI (V.J.)

Order

23/06/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed by the accused – applicant by invoking the provisions of Section 483 of BNSS, 2023, the requisite details of which are as under:-

Sr. No.	Particulars	
1	FIR No. and Date	0012/2026 and 12.04.2026
2	Concerned Police Station	Cyber Police Station (Dholpur), District - Dholpur
3	Offences as alleged in the FIR	Under Sections 318(4), 319(2) of BNS, 2023 & 66(D) of I.T. Act, 2008
4	Date of Arrest	13.04.2026

2. Learned counsel for the accused-applicant submit that the accused-applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case

and prima facie, no offence(s) as alleged in the abovementioned FIR is made out against them.

3. Learned counsel for the accused-applicant submit that as per the FIR and the charge-sheet, it is alleged that the accused-applicant was committing cyber fraud by using Telegram App and there are four complaints against the accused-applicant involving a total amount of Rs.1,50,000/-. Learned counsel further submit that the charge-sheet has been filed, the alleged offence(s) are triable by Magistrate, accused-applicant has no criminal antecedents. Learned counsel also submit that the accused-applicant is in judicial custody since 13.04.2026, and the conclusion of the trial will take long time.

4. Hence, learned counsel prays that the accused-applicant be granted bail.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the averments made by learned counsel for the accused-applicant, however, he could not dispute the fact that all the alleged offences involved in the present matter are triable by Magistrate.

6. Heard learned counsel for the accused-applicant and the learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

7. Having regard to the submissions advanced and the facts that the charge-sheet has been filed, and all the alleged offence(s) are triable by Magistrate, and accused-applicant has no criminal antecedents, and the trial likely to take considerable time to conclude, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the accused-applicant in custody. Therefore, without expressing any

opinion on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the accused-applicant on bail.

8. Consequently, the present bail application is **allowed** and the accused-applicant - **Pawan S/o Mihilal** arrested in connection with the above-mentioned FIR be released on bail, if not wanted in any other case upon furnishing of personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of the same amount each, to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court, with the stipulation that he shall appear before the learned trial Court on all dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so until completion of the trial.

9. Additionally, the accused-applicant will also remain bound by the following conditions:-

(i) The accused-applicant shall not leave the country without seeking prior permission of the learned trial Court;

(ii) The accused-applicant shall not commit any offence similar to the offence(s) of which he stands accused of and in the event, the accused - applicant is found to be involved in any other similar case in the future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application before the learned trial Court for cancellation of the bail granted herein;

(iii) The accused-applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade such person from

disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer/investigating authority; and

(iv) The accused-applicant shall not tamper with any evidence nor would influence any witnesses in the case.

(v) The accused-applicant shall regularly mark his attendance in the concerned police station on 25th day of every month. Concerned S.H.O. shall maintain the register of attendance of the accused-applicant.

(ANUROOP SINGHI (V.J.)),J