

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6987/2026

Jitendra Kumar Nirmal S/o Pramod Kumar, Aged About 27 Years,
R/o Khatehpura, P.s. Sadar Jhunjhunu, District Jhunjhunu (Raj)
(At Present In J.c. At District Jail Jhunjhunu).

-----Applicant

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 8094/2026

Sachin Kilaniya S/o Ramesh Kumar Kilaniya, Aged About 20
Years, R/o Khatehpura, Police Station Sadar Jhunjhunu, District
Jhunjhunu (Raj.) (At Present Confined In Jail Jhunjhunu Since
14/4/2026)

-----Applicant

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through P.p.

-----Respondent

For Applicant(s)	:	Mr. Majhar Hussain Mr. Abhishek Bhardwaj with Mr. Aayush Malin
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manvendra Singh Shekhawat, Public Prosecutor

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI (V.J.)
Order

22/06/2026

1. These bail applications have been filed by the accused – applicants by invoking the provisions of Section 483 of BNSS, 2023, the requisite details of which are as under:-

Sr. No.	Particulars	
1.	FIR No. and Date	61/2026 dated 14.04.2026
2.	Concerned Police Station	Sadar Jhunjhunu
3.	Offences as alleged in the FIR	Under Sections 318(2), 318(4), 316(2) of BNS, 2023 and 66 D of the IT Act.

4.	Date of Arrest	14.04.2026
----	----------------	------------

2. Learned counsel for the accused – applicants submit that the accused – applicants have been falsely implicated in the present case and prima facie, no offence(s) as alleged in the abovementioned FIR is made out against them.

Learned counsel further submits that the only offence alleged against them as per the FIR and so also as per the charge sheet is that both the accused - applicants have lent their bank accounts to other persons for committing cyber frauds for monetary consideration and certain complaints have been lodged in respect of the bank accounts opened by the accused - applicants. The amount credited in the bank accounts of the accused - Jitendra Kumar Nirmal is Rs.81,910/-, whereas the amount credited in the bank accounts of the accused - Sachin Kilaniya is Rs.2,35,888/-.

3. Learned counsel further submit that the charge sheet of the case has already been filed and that the accused - applicants are in custody since 14.04.2026 and the trial of the case will take sufficient time to conclude.

Hence, learned counsel pray that the accused-applicants be granted bail.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the averments made by learned counsel for the accused - applicants.

5. Heard learned counsel for the accused-applicants and the learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

6. Having regard to the submissions advanced, the fact that charge sheet has already been filed, the accused - applicants are in custody since 14.04.2026, the presence of the accused -

applicants is no longer required, and the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused – applicants in custody. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the accused – applicants on bail.

7. Consequently, the present bail applications are **allowed** and the accused – applicants namely **Jitendra Kumar Nirmal S/o Pramod Kumar & Sachin Kilaniya S/o Ramesh Kumar Kilaniya** arrested in connection with the above-mentioned FIR be released on bail, if not wanted in any other case upon furnishing of personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of the same amount each, to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court, with the stipulation that they shall appear before the learned trial Court on all dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so until completion of the trial.

8. Additionally, the accused–applicants will also remain bound by the following conditions:-

(i) The accused–applicants shall not leave the country without seeking prior permission of the learned trial Court;

(ii) The accused–applicants shall not commit any offence similar to the offence(s) of which they stand accused of and in the event, the accused–applicants are found to be involved in any other similar case in the future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application before the learned trial Court for cancellation of the bail granted herein;

(iii) The accused–applicants shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade such

person from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer/investigating authority; and

(iv) The accused-applicants shall not tamper with any evidence nor would influence any witnesses in the case.

(ANUROOP SINGHI (V.J.)),J

Upendra Pratap Singh/36-37