

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 2815/2026

Ravi Shankar Sharma S/o Sh. Ram Niwas Sharma, R/o Village
Vidhani, Tehsil Sanganer, District Jaipur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through P.P.
2. Lekhraj, Sub Inspector Of Police, Police Station
Shivdaspura, District Jaipur City (South) R/o Kiratpura,
Malhamadungar, Malarna Dungar, Sawai Madhopur,
Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 1852/2026

Vinod Sharma S/o Ramji Lal Sharma, Aged About 25 Years,
Resident Of Village Jayrajpura, Beelwa Kalan, Dist. Jaipur,
Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through P.P.
2. Lekh Raj S/o Sh. Ghanshyam Meena, Aged About 35
Years, Resident Of Kiratpura, P.s. Malrana Dungar District
Sawaimadhopur At Present Sub Inspector P.s.
Shivdaspura, Jaipur City (South).

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rajesh Goswami Ms. Monika Shekhawat Mr. Abhimanyu Singh Sandu
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manvendra Singh Shekhawat, P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI
Order

05/05/2026

1. The present criminal miscellaneous petitions have been filed
by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR No. 0238/2026

dated 05.03.2026 registered at Police Station – Shivdaspora, Jaipur City (South) for alleged offences punishable under Sections 189(2), 121(1), 132 of the BNS, 2023, and Section 3 of the Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act, 1984.

2. Mr. Rajesh Goswami, learned counsel appearing for petitioner – Ravi Shankar Sharma S/o Sh. Ram Niwas Sharma in S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 2815/2026, submits that the entire incident pertains to stone pelting regarding which, initially, specific allegations were levelled against five persons, however, FIR further records that as per confidential information received by the police, certain other persons were also found to be involved in the incident and the name of the petitioner – Ravi Shankar Sharma is mentioned therein.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the entire incident has taken place at a public place, it was recorded by several persons in their mobile phones, and one such recording has also been filed along with the petition as Annexure-3 (in S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 2815/2026 through a pendrive).

4. Learned counsel also submits that the said recording has also been submitted to the Investigating Officer along with a representation, however, the same is yet to be considered.

5. Mr. Abhimanyu Singh Sandu, learned counsel for petitioner – Vinod Sharma S/o Ramji Lal Sharma (in S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 1852/2026), submits that the case of the petitioner stands at an even better footing as his name is not even mentioned in the impugned FIR.

- 6.** Taking note of the above, issue notice to the respondents No.1 & 2.
- 7.** Learned Public Prosecutor accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1.
- 8.** Hence, service *qua* respondent No.1 stands complete. Notice be filed only for respondent No.2, returnable within six weeks, both by ordinary process as well as registered post.
- 9.** Learned Public Prosecutor is directed to ensure that the concerned Investigating Officer is personally present before the Court on the next date along with the case diary as well as the factual report.
- 10.** List the matter on 17.07.2026.
- 11.** Till the next date, while the petitioners in both the petitions shall duly co-operate and participate in the investigation with respect to the impugned FIR, they shall not be arrested.
- 12.** Also, the video recording as already submitted to the Investigating Officer and filed along with the petition in S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No.2815/2026 shall be duly considered by the Investigating Officer and a report in that regard be also placed on record before the next date.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J