

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

D.B. Habeas Corpus Petition No. 147/2026
URN: HC / 395U / 2026

Sonal W/o Gopal Kathat, R/o Near Krishna Mill, Deepak Colony,
Nundri Road, Ajmer, Rajasthan

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Home, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Director General Of Police, Rajasthan, Police Headquarters, Jaipur (Raj.)
3. Superintendent Of Police, District Beawar, Rajasthan.
4. Station House Officer, Police Station Beawar City, District Beawar, Rajasthan.
5. Arpit Singh Rathore S/o Rajendra Singh Rathore, R/o Suraj Colony, Hal Paras Colony, Udaipur Road, Beawar, Rajasthan.
6. Hanni Singh S/o Unknown, R/o Beawar, Rajasthan.
7. Additional Director General Of Police, Anti Human Trafficking Unit, Jaipur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Naman Pareek, Adv.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Rajesh Chaudhary, GA-cum-AAG with Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Adv. Mr. Aman Kumar, Asst. GA, Ms. Neha Goyal, Adv.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP TANEJA

Order

30/06/2026

1. The petitioner-mother of the alleged detainee, i.e. her daughter aged 18 years, is before this Court seeking issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus and/or, otherwise pass an

appropriate order directing the respondents to produce the detainee in Court.

2. It transpires that during the pendency of the proceedings before this Court, the alleged detainee herself filed a writ petition before this Court seeking protection of her life and liberty, apprehending threats from her family members. It seems to be a family dispute which has escalated into the present proceedings by the mother of the detainee.

3. In the peculiar premise, we are of the opinion that the instant Habeas Corpus proceedings are not maintainable, as the aforesaid factual position is not disputed by the learned counsel for the petitioner. Though, of course, at the time of filing of the Habeas Corpus petition herein, the detainee was a minor, but subsequently, she has turned major and filed the aforesaid petition, as enumerated hereinabove.

4. Confronted with the aforesaid observations, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of this petition and states that the petitioner would take steps to appear in the writ petition filed by the detainee and oppose the same.

5. Accordingly, the instant Habeas Corpus petition is dismissed as withdrawn as prayed for.

(SANDEEP TANEJA),J

(ARUN MONGA),J