

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6998/2026

1.

Madhosingh S/o Dwarika Prasad, Aged About 53 Years, R/o Village Samona, Police Station Rajakhera, District Dholpur (Rajasthan) (Accused Petitioner Is In Judicial Custody In District Jail Dholpur).
2.

Sitaram S/o Veduram @ Rameshwar, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Village Samona, Police Station Rajakhera, District Dholpur (Rajasthan) (Accused Petitioner Is In Judicial Custody In District Jail Dholpur).
3.

Veduram Alias Rameshwar S/o Darjna Prasad, Aged About 70 Years, R/o Village Samona, Police Station Rajakhera, District Dholpur (Rajasthan) (Accused Petitioner Is In Judicial Custody In District Jail Dholpur).

-----Accused/Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, through P.P.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)

:

Mr. Sumit Kumar Jain

For Respondent(s)

:

Mr. Manvendra Singh Choudhary, PP

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANGEETA SHARMA

Order

07/05/2026

1.

This bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioners under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. in connection with FIR No. 310/2024 registered at Police Station Rajakhera, District Dholpur, for the offence(s) under Sections 115(2), 126(2) and 125 of BNS.
2.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioners are in custody since

20.04.2026. He further submits that petitioner No.3-Veduram Alias Rameshwar is a senior citizen aged about 70 years. He submits that one head injury sustained by the injured is attributed to co-accused-Patiram. He submits that weapon of offence axe has been recovered at the instant of co-accused Patiram and conclusion of trial may take long time, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners in custody and therefore, prays that the petitioners may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners hereinabove and submits that there is criminal antecedent against accused-petitioner Veduram Alias Rameshwar S/o Darjna Prasad.

4. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioners are in custody since 20.04.2026; petitioner No.3-Veduram Alias Rameshwar is a senior citizen aged about 70 years; weapon of offence namely the axe, has been recovered at the instant of co-accused Patiram and trial will take its own time. Hence, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case deems it just and proper to enlarge the petitioners on bail.

5. Therefore, this bail application under Section 483 BNSS is accordingly, **allowed** and the accused-petitioners **Madhosingh S/o Dwarika Prasad, Sitaram S/o Veduram @ Rameshwar and Veduram Alias Rameshwar S/o Darjna Prasad** are ordered to be released on bail, provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of

Rs. 50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the trial Court, with the stipulation that they shall appear before that Court and any court to which the matter is transferred on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

6. It is made clear that the accused-petitioners shall not be involved in any other offence(s) during currency of the bail.

7. In case of any breach of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to move the application against the accused-petitioners for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

8. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned trial Court through e-mail/fax, for necessary compliance.

(SANGEETA SHARMA),J