




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 7009/2026

Ramkunwar Son Of Shri Nanga, Aged About 43 Years, Resident Of Lahoarwada, Police Station Peeplu, District Tonk (Raj.) (At Present Confined In Jail Tonk)

-----Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through P.p.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rajeev Kumar Sogarwal Mr. Kartar Singh Mr. Hitesh Haritwal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jaiprakash Tiwari, PP Mr. Aman Ali

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR
Order

07/05/2026

1. The petitioner has preferred this bail application under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.142/2025 registered at Police Station Peeplu, District Tonk for offences under Sections 109(1), 115(2), 126(2) and 189(2) of BNS 2023.
2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Public Prosecutor as well as learned counsel for the complainant and perused the material available on record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR and, as per the material available on record, he was not present at the place of occurrence and did not participate in causing injuries to Kaluram and in the death of the deceased Mukesh. The only allegation emerging from the material on record is that the other co-accused had allegedly hatched a conspiracy to commit the offence at the house of Ram



Kunwar which does not connect the petitioner with the alleged offence except for the allegation of having provided shelter prior to the occurrence of the incident. He is behind the bars since 01.01.2026 though five cases have been reported against him but in all the cases he is already on bail. The trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in further custody, consequently, the bail application of the petitioner may be allowed.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application.

5. Considering the material available on record reflecting the absence of the petitioner from the place of occurrence and the factual matrix indicating that the only allegation against him is that he provided food and shelter to the other accused persons who allegedly hatched a conspiracy to commit the charged offence and in light of submission made on behalf of petitioner but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioner.

6. Consequently, the bail application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is allowed and it is directed that the petitioner **Ramkunwar Son Of Shri Nanga**, be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J