

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN**  
**BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8005/2026

IDBI Bank Ltd.

-----Petitioner

Versus

Shri Jogender Kumar Taneja and Ors.

-----Respondents

---

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Sanjay Rahar

For Respondent(s) :

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANEESH SHARMA**

**Order**

**04/05/2026**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner-Bank submits that the petitioner-Bank had filed a suit through its Authorized Officer namely Shri. Ankur Mathur and submits that at the time of filing of the suit, he was the authorized representative of the Bank.
2. He submits that thereafter, Shri. Ankur Mathur was transferred from Jaipur to Udaipur and therefore, new Authorised Officer namely Shri. Sandeep Kumar Saini was appointed. Thereafter, the petitioner-Bank moved an application for substitution of the Authorized Officer of the Bank.
3. The said application has been rejected by the learned Commercial Court vide order dated 14.07.2025.
4. It is further contended by learned counsel for the petitioner-Bank that as per the provisions of Order 29 CPC, in case of corporate entities, any Principal Officer who has been authorized could depose to prove the facts of the plaint.
5. He submits that the petitioner-Bank is also ready and willing to submit the affidavit of the present concerned person but since

the application has been rejected, therefore, serious prejudice will be caused to the petitioner-Bank.

6. Heard.

7. Let notice be issued to the respondents. Rule is made returnable within four weeks.

8. In the meanwhile, further proceedings in Suit No.54/2024 pending before the learned Commercial Court No. 02, Jaipur Metropolitan-II, shall remain stayed, till next date of hearing.

9. This order will come into effect only after the service of respondents is complete.

10. List the matter on 30.06.2026.

**(MANEESH SHARMA),J**

*SOURAV /17*