

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 7921/2026

Jyoti Joshi Wife Of Shri Pradeep Kumar Joshi

-----Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Manmohan Kumar Pandey
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Kuldeep Singh Rathore for Ms. Mahi Yadav, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAMEER JAIN
Order

05/05/2026

1. The present writ petition has been filed invoking the extraordinary jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, assailing the impugned action of the respondents whereby the petitioner was relieved from her post as a Member of the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Sikar, on 25.02.2025.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that relieving of the petitioner renders her jobless and deprives her of any remuneration. It is submitted that in a similarly situated and identical matter, being **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6317/2026** titled **Narsingh Das Vyas vs. State of Rajasthan**, the Coordinate Bench of this Court has already granted interim protection vide order dated 20.04.2026.

3. Having heard the preliminary submissions advanced by the learned counsel, this Court observes that appointment as a Member in the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission does not constitute a regular 'job' or permanent civil employment; rather, it is a tenure appointment assigned for a specified time.

This Court further observes that the competent authority is at liberty to appoint appropriate and competent persons to the said post in accordance with the prescribed statutory framework.

4. However, this Court is constrained to note that a callous approach has been adopted by the respondent-State in failing to proactively appoint appropriate members, and therefore, creating an unwarranted administrative vacuum in the statutory Commission.

5. Consequently, maintaining parity with the interim order dated 20.04.2026 passed in **Narsingh Das Vyas (supra)**, it is directed that the petitioner shall be permitted to continue on the said post until fresh appointments are duly carried out and finalized by the competent authority, as per the due procedure.

6. Issue notices to the respondents.

7. Let a copy of the present petition be served in the office of Ms. Mahi Yadav, learned AAG.

8. The respondents are directed to file reply, prior to the next date of hearing.

9. The Principal Secretary, Food and Civil Supply & Consumer Affairs Department is directed to appear before this Court either through V.C. or in person on the next date of hearing to explain the administrative delay and the callous approach adopted *qua* the appointment process.

10. List the matter on 18.05.2026 in the supplementary cause list.

(SAMEER JAIN),J