

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6781/2026

Indrasingh Sodha Son of Omkar Singh, Aged About 36 Years,
Resident Of Village Harmada, Police Station Bandarsindri, District
Ajmer (Presently Confined At Central Jail Ajmer)

---Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through P.P.

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6782/2026

Prahlad Khatana Son of Shri Hariram, Aged About 23 Years, Res-
ident Of Sanvatsar, Police Station Gandhinagar, District Ajmer.
(Presently Confined At Central Jail Ajmer)

---Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through P.P.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Ms. Nikita Bhandari with Mr. Avi Airun
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Naresh Gupta, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIPIN GUPTA

Judgment / Order

29/06/2026

1. The present bail applications have been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 against the order dated 21.04.2026 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge No.1 Kishangarh (Ajmer), in Bail Applications No.122/2026 (CIS No.284/2026) & 123/2026 (CIS No.283/2026), whereby the bail applications of the petitioners were rejected in connection with F.I.R. No.112/2026, Police Station Gandhi Nagar, District Ajmer for the offences under

Sections 318(4), 319(2) & 61 (2)(a) of BNS 2023 and Section 66D of the Information Technology Act, 2000.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there are only one complaint against the present petitioners on the National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, and the total amount involved is approximately Rs.3,249/-.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the challan in the case has already been filed. He further submits that the petitioners have no criminal antecedents. Moreover, the conclusion of trial will take sufficiently long time and even the offences are triable by a Magistrate, therefore, he prays that the petitioners may be enlarged on bail.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the bail applications.

5. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

6. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and upon a consideration of the fact that the offences are triable by Magistrate, challan has been filed, trial will take considerable time and further the fact that there are no criminal antecedents, this Court is of the opinion that the bail applications filed by the petitioners deserves to be accepted.

7. Consequently, the bail applications are **allowed**. It is ordered that the accused-petitioners namely-**Indrasingh Sodha Son of Omkar Singh** and **Prahlad Khatana Son of Shri Hariram** arrested in connection with F.I.R. No.112/2026, Police Station Gandhi Nagar, District Ajmer, shall be released on bail; provided they furnish a personal bond of Rs.1,00,000/ (Rs. One

Lakh Only) with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- (Rs. Fifty Thousand Only) each, to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court, with the stipulation that they shall appear before the said Court on all dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so until completion of the trial, subject to the following conditions:

- (i) The petitioners shall not leave country without the permission of the Court;
- (ii) The petitioners shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which they are accused, or suspected, of the commission of which they are suspected;
- (iii) The petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.
- (iv) In the event the petitioners are found involved in any other cyber fraud case in the future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application before the learned trial Court for cancellation of the bail granted herein.

(BIPIN GUPTA),J