

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN**  
**BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 3461/2026

Jitendra Singh S/o Manohar Singh, Aged About 24 Years, R/o  
Chanchukya, P.S. Maujmabad, Presently P.S. Mokhampura, Dist.  
Jaipur Rural. (At Present Confined At Central Jail Jaipur)

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 4183/2026

Dinesh Singh Diya Son Of Bahadur Singh Diya, Aged About 24  
Years, Resident Of Chanchukya Police Station Maujmabad, At  
Present Police Station Mokhanpura, District Jaipur Rural.  
(Accused Petitioner Presently Confined In Sub-Jail Sambhar  
Lake, District Jaipur)

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor

----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 4184/2026

Kamal S/o Gaurishankar, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Tejpura, Tan  
Maheshwas, Police Station Jobner, District Jaipur Gramin.  
(Presently Confined At Central Jail Jaipur).

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor

----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6953/2026

Lokesh Kumar S/o Mohanlal, Aged About 21 Years, R/o Bhada Ki  
Dhani, Tan Norangpura, Police Station Sambhar Lake, District  
Jaipur. (Petitioner Is Confined In Sambhar Lake, Jaipur).

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor

----Respondent

---

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Jitendra Singh Shekhawat  
Mr. Babul Lal Sharma  
Mr. Adarsh Singhal  
Mr. Dinesh Pareek  
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Tapesht Agarwal, PP

---

**HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANGEETA SHARMA**

**Order**

**19/05/2026**

1. These instant bail applications have been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioners under Section 483 of BNSS in connection with FIR No. 8/2026 registered at Police Station Phulera (Jaipur Rural), District Jaipur Rural for the offence(s) under Sections 318(4) & 316(2) of BNS and Section 66D of IT Act.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioners submits that the accused-petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case; they are behind the bars since long. He further submits that there are no criminal antecedents against the accused-petitioners; the trial will take its own time, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners behind the bars and, therefore, prays that the petitioners may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.
3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the bail application and submits that nine complaints have been registered against the joint account number 44378708299 related to accused-petitioners on Samanvya Portal pertaining to cyber fraud arising from the different parts of the country across eight States. It is further submitted that accused Petitioners, namely Lokesh Kumar, Dinesh Singh and Jitendra Singh had opened the above mentioned joint bank account in the name of Sanwariya

Fashion Hub with the connivance of the co-accused Kamal and a fraud to the tune of Rs.5,53,47,146/- has been surfaced out from the same. Therefore, it is prayed that looking to the gravity and magnitude of the offence, the accused-petitioners do not deserve the indulgence of bail and the bail application deserves to be rejected.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and material available on record, it is reflected that on 15.01.2026, ASI Kamalveer, P.S. Phulera, District Jaipur Rural, conducted a mule account search operation and during the course of proceedings, it was brought on record that nine complaints have been registered against the accused-petitioners on Samanvya Portal, which are from different parts of the country i.e. Rajasthan, West Bengal, Madhya Pradesh, Kerala, Karnataka, Telangana, Delhi, Gujarat etc. During investigation, it was revealed that a huge amount of Rs.5,53,47,146/- has been siphoned off from the above mentioned joint bank account registered in the name of Sanwariya Fashion Hub related to accused-petitioners. It is pertinent to mention that the accused-petitioners did not disclose the fact about the complaints registered against them on Samanvya Portal in their bail applications. Therefore, considering the gravity and seriousness of the allegations, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, does not deem it appropriate to enlarge the accused-petitioners on bail at this stage.

5. Accordingly, these present Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Applications are **dismissed**.

6. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail applications and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(SANGEETA SHARMA),J

17-20/SHIVANI