

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6758/2026

Nitin S/o Antram, R/o Tatija, Police Station, Khetri Nagar, Tehsil Khetri, District Jhunjhunu (Raj.) (At Present Accused-Petitioner Confined In Sub- Jail Khetri)

-----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through P.P.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ravi Jangir
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manvendra Singh Choudhary, PP
For Complainant(s)	:	Mr. Sudhir Yadav

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANGEETA SHARMA

Order

06/05/2026

1. This bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS in connection with FIR No. 14/2026 registered at Police Station Khetri Nagar, District Jhunjhunu for the offence(s) under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 189(2), 190 & 303(2) of BNS.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; petitioner is behind the bars since long; He also submits that injuries sustained by injured are neither fatal nor dangerous to life. He further submits that grievous injuries sustained by injured Hansraj is attributed to co-accused persons Subhash and Mahesh; that co-accused person namely Amar Singh has been enlarged on bail by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 27.04.2026 in *S.B.Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6356/2026*;

conclusion of trial may take considerable time, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars and, therefore, prays that the petitioner may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the bail application and submits that there are criminal antecedents against the accused-petitioner.

4. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the fact that petitioner is behind the bars since long; that grievous injuries sustained by injured Hansraj is attributed to co-accused persons Subhash and Mahesh; that co-accused person namely Amar Singh has been enlarged on bail by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court; trial will take its own time. Hence, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case, deems it just and proper to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

5. Therefore, this bail application under Section 483 BNSS is accordingly, **allowed** and the accused-petitioner **Nitin S/o Antram** is ordered to be released on bail, provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the trial Court, with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

6. In case of any breach of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to move the application against the accused-petitioner for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

7. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

8. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned trial Court through e-mail/fax, for necessary compliance.

(SANGEETA SHARMA),J

SHIVANI/46