

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6689/2026

1. Naveen Kumar S/o Sh. Rewadmal, R/o Village Shyonanda Ki Jhupdiya, Tehsil Lalsot, District Dausa (Rajasthan).
2. Antesh S/o Sh. Chhuttanlal, R/o Village Shyonanda Ki Jhupdiya, Tehsil Lalsot, District Dausa (Rajasthan).
3. Lokesh Kumar S/o Sh. Kailash Chand, R/o Village Nagariyawas, Tehsil Lalsot, District Dausa (Rajasthan).
4. Vicky @ Vikas S/o Maujiram, R/o Village Bharja, Tehsil Malarna Dungar, District Sawai Madhopur (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioners

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through P.p.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Naresh Charoda
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Chaudhary, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEER BHATNAGAR

Order

01/05/2026

1. Apprehending their arrest in connection with FIR No. 94/2026 registered at Police Station Lalsot, District Dausa for the offence(s) under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 140(2), 189(2), 307, 119(1) and 308(2) of BNS, the accused-petitioners have preferred this anticipatory bail application under Section 482 BNSS.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioners submits that accused-petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. It is contended that the complainant appeared before the concerned ADJ Court and submitted a written compromise with an affidavit stating the fact that if the anticipatory bail application of the

accused petitioners is allowed then he has no objection. The accused-petitioners are ready and willing to cooperate with the investigation and custodial interrogation of the accused-petitioners are not required, therefore, the anticipatory bail application of the accused-petitioners may be allowed.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail application.

4. Heard and perused the material available on record.

5. Considering the fact that before learned trial court complainant has submitted the compromise with an affidavit that if the bail application of the accused-petitioners are allowed then he has no objection, therefore, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, I deem it just and proper to allow the anticipatory bail application of the accused-petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application under Section 482 BNSS is allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest of the petitioners 1. **Naveen Kumar S/o Sh. Rewadmali,** 2. **Antesh S/o Sh. Chhuttanlal,** 3. **Lokesh Kumar S/o Sh. Kailash Chand,** 4. **Vickey @ Vikas S/o Maujiram,** in connection with FIR No. 94/2026 registered at Police Station Lalsot, District Dausa they shall be released on bail, provided each of them furnish personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the S.H.O/I.O/Arresting Officer of the concerned Police Station on the following conditions:-

- (i) that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

- (ii) that the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or any police officer, and
- (iii) that the petitioners shall not leave India without previous permission of the court.
- (iv) that the petitioners shall submit his mobile phone alongwith the sim card to the concerned investigating officer
- (v) that the petitioners shall submit their present addresses to the concerned investigating officer. In case of any change in the present address then also they will intimate the same to the concerned Investigating Officer.

(PRAVEER BHATNAGAR),J