



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Writ Miscellaneous Application No.189/2026
IN

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.1225/2026

Rinku Kasera W/o Pravin Kumar Kasera, Proprietor Kasera And Company, Age 39 Years, R/o A-203, Agrasen Apartment, Sector – 2, Vidhyadhar Nagar, Jaipur, Rajasthan- 302039.

----Applicant/Petitioner

Versus

1. HDFC Bank Limited, Through Its Branch Manager, Address- Branch Boytawala, Shop No. C-67 And C-68, Balaji Vihar, 26-D, Benar Road, Jaipur.
2. Inspector of Police, Cyber, Economic And Narcotic Crime Police Station Chikkaballpura, Karnataka.

----Non-Applicant/Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Shantanu Bansal with Mr. Fatehchand Saini
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Pankaj Gupta with Mr. Naman Yadav Mr. Samee Khan with Ms. Sunita Meena, AGC for Mr. Bhunesh Sharma, AAG Mr. Sunil Bhargava, Branch Manager, HDFC Bank

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI

Order

07/05/2026

1. The present matter comes up on an application filed by the applicant – petitioner seeking modification/clarification in the order dated 12.02.2026 passed by this Court.

2. Reply to the aforesaid application has been filed today by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.1 – HDFC Bank Limited and also in compliance of the order dated 06.05.2026, Mr. Sunil Bhargava, Branch Manager of HDFC Bank Ltd., Branch Boytawala, Shop No. C-67 and C-68, Balaji Vihar, 26-D, Benar Road, Jaipur, who has been identified by the learned



counsel for respondent No.1 – HDFC Bank, is personally present before this Court.

3. The order dated 12.02.2026 reads as under:-

"Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the complaint on the basis of which the account of the petitioner was frozen has been settled before the Lok Adalat vide order dated 13.09.2025 and thus, nothing further survives in the matter.

Also, despite orders passed by this Court on 31.01.2026 and 06.02.2026, vide which the learned counsel appearing for the Bank was required to inquire about the status of the matter and exact amount involved in the controversy, learned counsel submits that despite making communications to the State Authorities, no reply whatsoever has been received by them.

A copy of the E-mail dated 03.02.2026, has been handed over to the Court.

Considering the above factual matrix, there is no reason to not to defreeze the petitioner's bank account being Current Account No.50200067449752 with HDFC Bank, Boytawala Branch, Benar Road, Jaipur.

With the said directions, the present writ petition stands allowed and the respondent – Bank is directed to forthwith defreeze the petitioner's bank account being Current Account No.50200067449752 with HDFC Bank, Boytawala Branch, Benar Road, Jaipur.

Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of."

4. Mr. Shantanu Bansal, Advocate along with Mr. Fatehchand Saini, learned counsel for the applicant – petitioner submits that despite the order dated 12.02.2026 passed by this Court, a lien over an amount of Rs.1,92,000/- in the bank account of the



petitioner is still continuing, which is absolutely in teeth of the order dated 12.02.2026 passed by this Court.

5. Learned counsel for the applicant – petitioner specifically submits that when no such amount of Rs.1,92,000/- was ever credited in the bank account of the petitioner, there was no occasion whatsoever for respondent No.1 – Bank to mark lien on the aforementioned amount.

6. Learned counsel further submits that no such details with respect to the amount on which lien is being imposed by the respondent – Bank was submitted before the Court during the hearing in the writ petition, as is also evident from the order dated 12.02.2026.

7. Learned counsel also submits that once the matter between the contesting parties stood settled by the Lok Adalat on 13.09.2025 (Annexure-8 of the writ petition), there was no occasion for the applicant – petitioner to suffer the burnt of the same by having a lien over an amount of Rs.1,92,000/- which as already submitted, was never received by the petitioner.

8. Thus, learned counsel for the applicant – petitioner submits that the present application deserves to be allowed and directions may be issued to respondent No.1 – Bank to remove the lien marked in the applicant/petitioner's bank account No.50200067449752 on the amount of Rs.1,92,000/-.

9. In repudiation, Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Advocate, along with Mr. Naman Yadav, learned counsel for respondent No.1 – Bank, submits that while there was no occasion whatsoever for the bank to not to comply with the order dated 12.02.2026 passed by this Court, however, the lien over an amount of Rs.1,92,000/- has



been imposed only in pursuance to a communication dated 18.07.2025 (Annexure-IA R-1/2) received by the respondent – Bank from Cyber, Economic & Narcotic Crime Police Station, Karnataka, wherein directions to mark a lien on an amount of Rs.1,92,000/- in the bank account of the petitioner, have been received. However, learned counsel for the respondent – Bank could not dispute the fact that no mention with respect to the very said amount was brought to the notice of the Court during the hearing of the writ petition.

10. Learned counsel for the respondent – Bank, finally submits that they are willing and inclined to comply with the directions passed by this Court at any stage.

11. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

12. It is not in dispute that the bank account of the petitioner being account No.50200067449752 maintained with HDFC Bank, Boytawala Branch, Benar Road, Jaipur, has been de-freezed in pursuance to the order dated 12.02.2026, however, a lien on an amount of Rs.1,92,000/- still continues, thereby depriving the petitioner from the usage of the said amount.

13. Taking note of the overall facts obtained and considering the fact that no mention of any such amount of Rs.1,92,000/- for the purposes of marking lien was brought on record during the hearing of the writ petition and also the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner that no such amount of Rs.1,92,000/- has been credited in the bank account of the petitioner, ends of justice would meet with the direction to the Bank to remove the lien on the amount of Rs.1,92,000/- in the



bank account of the petitioner being account No.50200067449752. However, as the bank is in receipt of the communication dated 18.07.2025, it would be just and proper to direct the applicant – petitioner to furnish an indemnity bond in this regard stating that in the event of any investigation being done by the bank for the said amount, the petitioner would certainly participate and render all possible assistance in that regard and would indemnify the bank accordingly, if so required in those obtained circumstances.

14. The said indemnity bond be furnished by the applicant within three days from today itself and on submission of the same, the respondent – Bank is directed to remove the said lien on the very same day.

15. With the aforesaid directions, the present application along with any other pending application(s) stand disposed of.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J