

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6591/2026

Meghraj S/o Mojiram, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Chak Udairam Pura, Post Sancholi, Police Station Batoda, District Sawai Madhopur, Rajasthan. (At Present Confined In District Jail Gangapur City)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rajendra Prasad Jogi Mr. Aryan Verma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma, Addl. G.A.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIPIN GUPTA (V. J.)

Judgment / Order

12/06/2026

1. The present bail application has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 against the order dated 18.04.2026 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge No.1, Gangapur City in Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.160/2026, whereby the bail application of the petitioner was rejected in connection with F.I.R. No.46/2026, Police Station Batoda, District Sawai Madhopur for the offences under Sections 318(4), 319(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 66D of the Information Technology Act, 2000.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is only one complaint on the National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal, and the total amount involved is approximately Rs.5,000/-. He also submits that the said amount has been refunded back to the complainant.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the challan in the case has already been filed. He further submits that there are no criminal antecedents. Moreover, the conclusion of trial will take sufficiently long time and even the offences are triable by the Magistrate, therefore, he prays that the petitioner may be enlarged on bail as the accused is in custody since 18.04.2026.

4. Learned Additional Government Advocate vehemently opposes the bail application.

5. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Government Advocate and perused the material available on record.

6. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and upon a consideration of the arguments advanced and the fact that challan has already been filed and there are no criminal antecedents and amount of Rs.5,000/- was involved which has also been stated to have been refunded back to the complainant, this Court is of the opinion that the bail application filed by the petitioner deserves to be accepted.

7. Consequently, the bail application is **allowed**. It is ordered that the accused-petitioner namely **Meghraj S/o Mojiram** arrested in connection with F.I.R. No.46/2026, Police Station Batoda, District Sawai Madhopur, shall be released on bail; provided he furnishes a personal bond of Rs.1,00,000/ (Rs. One Lakh Only) with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- (Rs. Fifty Thousand Only) each, to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court, with the stipulation that he shall appear before the said Court on all dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so until completion of the trial, subject to the following conditions:

- (i) The petitioner shall not leave country without the permission of the Court;
- (ii) The petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected;
- (iii) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence; and
- (iv) In the event the petitioner is found involved in any other cyber fraud case in the future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application before the learned trial Court for cancellation of the bail granted herein.

(BIPIN GUPTA (V. J.)),J