

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6576/2026
CNR: RJHC020399632026 | URN: CRLMB / 11847U / 2026

Gulab Ram Son Of Shobharam, Aged About 34 Years, Resident
Of Ward No. 9, Sindhi Mohalla Nohar, Hanumangarh (Raj.) (At
Present In Sub Jail Kishangarh Bas)

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through P.P.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Surendra Singh Sunda
For State	:	Mr. Manvendra Singh Choudhary, PP
For Complainant	:	Mr. Rinesh Kumar Gupta for Mr. Ashutosh Singh Naruka

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANGEETA SHARMA

Order

30/07/2026

1. This bail application has been filed against the order of learned trial Court dated 11.03.2026 on behalf of the accused-petitioner under Section 483 of BNSS in connection with FIR No.213/2024 registered at Police Station Khairthal, District Khairthal-Tijara for the offence(s) under Sections 302, 450 & 323 of IPC, in which charge-sheet has been submitted under Sections 302, 450, 323, 324 & 307 of IPC.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that petitioner is behind the bars since 10.06.2024; that charge-sheet has already been filed. He also submits that no material witness is left to be examined. Therefore, prays that the petitioner may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the complainant vehemently opposed the contentions put forth by the learned counsel for the accused-petitioner and submitted that the eyewitnesses Neha and Kavita have already been examined and have stated during trial that accused-petitioner is the son-in-law of the deceased-Govind Ram and there was marital discord between accused-petitioner and Neha, who at that time was residing with her parents; that on the date of incident in between 9-10 a.m., the accused-petitioner came to the house of the deceased-Govind Ram and initially stabbed Neha and she sustained an injury on her hand, thereafter, when Govind Ram intervened to save his daughter, accused-petitioner stabbed Govind Ram in the abdomen and inflicted a serious injury which resulted in his death. In Post-Mortem Report, it is mentioned that deceased-Govindram sustained a cavity deep incised stab wound on his abdomen, which resulted in his death.

4. He further submits that, after the incident, accused-petitioner left the blood stained knife on spot and fled away from the place of incident. The blood stained knife was recovered from the place of incident, which was sent for examination and the FSL Report is still awaited. During investigation, a pen drive containing CCTV footage has been seized; the footage shows that the accused-petitioner came to the house of the deceased Govindram, at 09.44.45 a.m. and left the premises at 9.45.49 a.m. He further submits that looking at the evidence of eyewitnesses, other corroborative evidences and the gravity of the offence, this bail application may not be allowed.

5. Heard and perused the material available on record and the rival submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the parties; keeping in view the fact that both the eyewitnesses PW.2-Neha and PW.3-Kavita have already been examined during trial and they have candidly supported the prosecution's case and narrated the facts as mentioned hereinabove; that trial is at the fag end; the FSL report is yet to be placed on record. Therefore, at this stage considering the facts and circumstances of this case, evidence available on record and looking to the seriousness of the offence, without expressing any merits/demerits of the case, this Court does not deem it fit to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

6. Accordingly, this present Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application is **dismissed**.

7. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(SANGEETA SHARMA),J