

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6520/2026

Ramotar S/o Pappulal, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Behind Kali Ka Bag, Town Newai, Police Station Niwai, District Tonk (Raj.)
(Accused/petitioner In District Jail Tonk).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 6521/2026

1. Babulal S/o Bhanwarlal, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Behind Kali Ka Bag, Town Newai, Police Station Newai, District Tonk (Raj.) (Accused/petitioner In District Jail Tonk)
2. Pradhan S/o Pappulal, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Behind Kali Ka Bag, Town Newai, Police Station Newai, District Tonk (Raj.) (Accused/petitioner In District Jail Tonk)

-----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sandeep Jain
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jaiprakash Tiwari, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

28/04/2026

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No.6521/2026
qua petitioner-Babulal

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner, Babulal, seeks permission to withdraw the present bail application.

2. Accordingly, the bail application filed on behalf of the petitioner, Babulal, is dismissed as withdrawn, as prayed.

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No.6520/2026

& 6521/2026 qua petitioners-Ramotar and Pradhan

3. The petitioners have filed these bail applications under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.478/2025 registered at Police Station Newai, District Tonk for offences under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 189(2), 352 and 110 of BNS, 2023.

4. Since both these bail applications arise out of common FIR, hence, they are being decided by this common order.

5. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.

6. Learned counsels for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in these cases. It is further submitted that the allegations against the present petitioners are false and based on concocted grounds. No specific injury, particularly one dangerous to life, has been attributed to the present petitioners, namely Ramotar and Pradhan. The allegation of causing such injury has, in fact, been attributed to co-accused Pappulal and Babulal. Further, co-accused Bhanwarlal and Lakshman @ Gordhan have already been enlarged on bail by this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 16.04.2026. The case of the present petitioners stands on a similar footing. The petitioners have been in judicial custody since 19.04.2026. The trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners in further custody, consequently, the bail applications deserves to be allowed.

7. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application.

8. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and in the light of submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioners.

9. Consequently, the bail applications under Section 483 B.N.S.S. are allowed and it is directed that the petitioners **Ramotar S/o Pappulal and Pradhan S/o Pappulal**, be released on bail provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that each of them shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J